



PARK CITY NONPROFIT SERVICES ADVISORY COMMITTEE
445 MARSAC AVE
PARK CITY, UTAH 84060

MINUTES OF MARCH 26, 2025

BOARD MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: Danny Glasser – Chair, Sloane Johnson – Vice-Chair, Pam Ross, Chris Neff, Molly Miller, Ingrid Contreras

EX OFFICIO MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: Hans Jasperson, Budget & Grants Analyst

I. ROLL CALL

Danny Glasser called the meeting to order at 6:10 p.m.

II. CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES

1. Consideration to Approve the Nonprofit Services Advisory Committee Minutes from February 19, 2025

Motion: Danny Glasser Moved to approve the meeting minutes from February 19, 2025. Sloane Johnson seconded the motion.

Vote: The motion passed unanimously.

III. OLD BUSINESS

Discuss Public Service Contract Funding Allocations for PC Tots and Arts Programs

Hans Jasperson briefed the Committee on actions from the previous week's City Council meeting. City Deputy Director Sarah Pearce presented funding recommendations to the Council for four arts & culture organizations that had not been recommended for funding by the Nonprofit Services Advisory Committee: Arts Council of Park City & Summit County, Summit Community Garden & EATS, Park City Film, and Egyptian Theatre. The Council voted to approve the recommendations and to explore a future arts & culture grant process that would be managed by the City's Public Arts Advisory Board. If the Sundance contract were not to be renewed after FY26, this would free up additional funds that could be invested in local arts & culture organizations in FY27 and beyond. This approach would take direct requests for arts & culture funding to the Public Arts Advisory Board and allow the Nonprofit Services Advisory Committee to focus on funding for other critical services.

Jasperson told the Committee that, since Council had already approved funding for these arts & culture organizations, their task was now to look at the PC Tots recommendation, determine if any portion of their requested funding was duplicative of current City investments in local childcare, and make a new recommendation to Council. Jasperson had met with PC Tots to understand better their funding request and the services PC Tots provides. In investigating their budget and service model more closely, Jasperson expressed that the product or service they deliver is high-quality childcare and kindergarten readiness, not distributing childcare subsidies. The mission of PC Tots is to deliver the highest quality of care to all children, regardless of their ability to pay. Any gap in revenue received through tuition and the cost of actual care is made up for through fundraising and grant writing. After their conversation, Jasperson asked PC Tots to submit a revised budget and performance measures to the Committee that focuses on kindergarten readiness as the outcome.

Chris Neff asked if the letter PC Tots shared with the Committee addressed Council's concerns about duplication of funding. Jasperson stated that the letter had been shared with Council, but if the Committee agrees with PC Tots' argument, they may want to present additional information to Council to make the case.

Sloane Johnson stated that Council seemed concerned about providing funds to PC Tots to offer sliding scale subsidies to families, when the City already has another program to do that. She wanted the Committee to emphasize to Council that there is still a list of kids waiting to get in and the public service contract would pay for the teachers to provide services. Jasperson responded that a lot of the confusion was due to the fact that PC Tots specifically asked for funding for subsidies in their budget. That is why he asked PC Tots to clarify how the funds would be spent and resubmit a budget. Pam Ross agreed that it was fair for Council to raise the question, because of the way proposal was written, but that there does appear to be a distinction between the City's childcare program and the PC Tots request.

Jasperson answered some questions about the remaining budget the Committee has to work with. There was \$27,250 available in FY25. If the Committee wanted to maintain their \$86,000 reserve in FY26, that would leave only \$25,000 for PC Tots. Danny Glasser stated that the PC Tots application was attractive because it takes care of children in need and also prepares them for school and eventually the workforce. It is still a critical need.

Chris Neff asked Jasperson if the Committee could ask for an increase in the budget. Jasperson stated that they could choose to tap into the reserve fund of \$86,000 to provide more funding for PC Tots, but otherwise, there was only \$25,000 available. Jasperson further clarified that no amount of funding to PC Tots was guaranteed at this point, and that the Committee must make a new recommendation. The Committee further discussed the possibility of requesting additional budget from Council. Neff asked Jasperson if this was possible. Jasperson responded that his understanding of Council direction was to keep the overall budget set, but the committee could tap into the \$86,000 held in reserves. He further added that the City is expecting flat revenue in FY26 and that it will be a tight budget year. He recommended the Committee stay budget neutral.

Pam Ross asked if the Committee could recommend reallocating funding that was recommended to other organizations. Jasperson responded that those awards will already be approved by Council. The Committee discussed whether to ask Council for additional funds in FY26. There is a great amount of duress and concern in the community about funding from federal and state sources. Jasperson told the Committee he would put forward their recommendation to Council, but that he also needs to provide the broader context and fiscal impact to the City of a budget increase.

The Committee discussed various dates for presenting their recommendation to Council. May 1 was preferred, with May 22 the second option, and May 15 the third option.

Motion: Molloy Miller made a motion to forward the following recommendations to City Council: Option A) Keep the FY25-27 recommendations for PC Tots (\$27,250, \$50,000, and \$50,000, respectively), request additional \$25,000 in FY26 to fully fund the PC Tots request, and add increase the budget and additional \$14,000 to keep a reserve of \$100,000; Option B) Keep the FY25-27 recommendations for PC Tots (\$27,250, \$50,000, and \$50,000, respectively), request additional \$25,000 in FY26 to fully fund the PC Tots request, keep a reserve of \$86,000; Option C) Funding for FY25-27 for PC Tots at \$27,250, \$25,000, and \$50,000, respectively, no additional budget increase. Danny Glasser seconded the motion

Vote: The motion passed unanimously.

IV. ADJOURNMENT

With no further business, the meeting was adjourned.