



**PARK CITY AND SUMMIT COUNTY JOINT COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
445 MARSAC AVENUE
PARK CITY, SUMMIT COUNTY, UTAH 84060**

February 15, 2022

The Council of Park City, Summit County, Utah, met in open meeting on February 15, 2022, at 2:00 p.m.

SPECIAL MEETING

I. ROLL CALL

Park City Council Attendee Name	Status
Mayor Nann Worel Council Member Ryan Dickey Council Member Max Doilney Council Member Becca Gerber Council Member Jeremy Rubell via Zoom Council Member Tana Toly Matt Dias, City Manager Margaret Plane, City Attorney Michelle Kellogg, City Recorder	Present
None	Absent

Summit County Council Attendee Name	Status
Chair Chris Robinson Vice Chair Roger Armstrong Council Member Doug Clyde Council Member Malena Stevens Tom Fisher, County Manager Margaret Olson, County Attorney Dave Thomas, Deputy County Attorney Eve Furse, County Clerk	Present
Council Member Glenn Wright	Absent

Salt Lake City-Utah Olympic Committee Attendee Name	Status
Chair Catherine Raney Norman Fraser Bullock, President/CEO	Present

**PARK CITY COUNCIL MEETING
SUMMIT COUNTY, UTAH
February 15, 2022**

Committee Member Colin Hilton Committee Member Darren Hughes Committee Member Tom Kelly Committee Member Lisa Weeks Valiant	
None	Absent

II. OLYMPIC AND PARALYMPIC DEBRIEFING

Chair Robinson opened the meeting. Colin Hilton reviewed his role with 2002 games and stated he had been working with Utah Legacy Foundation since 2006. This organization shared the Olympic history and promoted winter sports. He noted 100% of the Utah Olympic venues were still in use and were busier than right after the games.

Catherine Raney Norman shared she was a four-time Olympian and noted the Olympic team moved to Park City in 1999. She currently worked with the Youth Sports Alliance (YSA). This community came together to support the Olympic and Paralympic movement.

Fraser Bullock reviewed his role in the 2002 games. After September 11, 2001, everyone worked hard to come together for the games, and he was still involved today.

Darren Hughes discussed the pride of the Olympic volunteers and indicated they were unique. Public opinion polls were distributed and 80%-89% of respondents favored having another games in Utah.

Tom Kelly stated YSA had put 15,000 youth in the sports program in the past 20 years. Youth got involved to have fun, and along the way they learned life lessons.

Bullock recognized people who made the 2002 games happen, including Myles Rademan, Brad Olch, and many others. At the last games, the organizers were amateurs. Now, the infrastructure was in place and the committee could do more things like sustainability. They wanted to understand the County and City's issues, concerns, hopes, and aspirations. This was not about 17 days of games, but rather making a permanent change. He noted the Olympic budget would be \$2.2 billion in 2030 dollars. He wanted to be a leading sports legacy in the world. They wanted to make sure athletes and residents had a good experience. He asked what Council wanted as an experience for residents. Bullock noted the committee wanted to have the bid ready by mid-2022. The awarding used to be done in an International Olympic Committee (IOC) session seven years in advance of the games. Now, there would be a dialogue process. That process was flexible and the games could be awarded at any time. The Olympics date was not set, but they were looking at 2030 and 2034. The committee hoped for a 2030 bid.

Bullock stated Utah was a solid candidate since all the venues were in place. Other competitors included Vancouver, Canada, who hosted in 2010, and Sapporo, Japan.

Barcelona, Spain, was interested as well. Bullock felt the most important element was unity. The IOC looked at the attitude of the community and that was the reason for polling. One reason the committee wanted to host again was for the rising generation who didn't see the 2002 games. It was also for enduring legacy to be in place.

Chair Robinson asked if future games would set aside funds to continue the legacy. Bullock responded that out of the \$300 million in the Legacy budget, \$180 million would go to the foundation. He felt that money would permanently endow these facilities to keep them maintained as well as pay for coaches. Another \$130 million would go to hosting events.

Council Member Toly related her experience watching the Olympics in 2002. She asked if the new normal took into account future weather conditions. Bullock stated that was another reason to bid for 2030. A climate study was done and it reported Utah was one of the better places to host the games, with weather and snow making abilities. Hilton noted the committee would rely on the expertise of the City and County staff to make decisions in sustainability and other issues.

Council Member Gerber asked what the difference was in poll numbers the first time Utah bid on the Olympics. She stated there was a lot of unity in 2002, and asked what solutions could be brought to resolve residents' concerns. Bullock stated the popularity of the games was 60% before the games and 95% after the games.

Council Member Doilney stated there were several Olympic Legacy Communities in Utah. He asked how Utah did as a legacy community compared to the rest of the world. Bullock stated the legacy story of Utah was incomparable. The venues and programs were so busy. That story was not told anywhere else in the world. It happened because of the endowment left after the 2002 games. Norman added there was an effort to keep the venues viable. Now the focus was on getting youth from other ethnicities involved in winter sports.

Hilton and the committee wanted to know what the City/County vision was and what could get done by a certain date. Chair Robinson stated this was exciting and indicative of the A-Team they had. He liked the focus on the communities. He acknowledged there was frustration among some regarding traffic congestion. He wanted to focus on the quality of life. He thought there would be unity, but it had to be worked out. He heard the games would just bring more people. There were a lot more people here now, and there needed to be smart planning. Hilton stated this was a kickoff meeting. The agenda and handout that was distributed contained draft thoughts and he hoped the group could come up with a draft joint statement that this group valued these topics. The bid committee would also like some guiding principles. Different communities might have different guiding principles. Hughes stated the games delivery principles that were distributed were their values and he hoped many of the topics could reflect this group's vision.

**PARK CITY COUNCIL MEETING
SUMMIT COUNTY, UTAH
February 15, 2022**

Mayor Worel stated it was time to have a community conversation about the games. She asked when polling would occur on the local level. Bullock thought it would be nice to have a way to gather information from the residents to assess the feelings of the community. The National Olympic Committee would do a poll and the IOC would do a poll. Hughes noted a platform was being prepared to gather public input. Hilton stated the committee would follow the City/County lead in sharing information and gathering input.

Vice Chair Armstrong stated there were perceptions in the community that the Olympics put the light on Salt Lake City and there was no focus on Park City. Growth in the community was a concern. Renewable energy, sustainability, and water availability were also issues of concern. He thought the rising generation was a reason for the games but the greater legacy that would be left was the impact left after the games. The legacy was far beyond the Olympic facilities, but if the games would have enduring positive impacts or lasting negative impacts. Hilton stated there were things that would start with the games and grow from there. Council Member Stevens stated one thing that made Summit County and Park City special was a devoted and engaged public. She encouraged forums on the depths of the issues to make them part of the legacy.

Council Member Toly asked what effect it would have on the bid if the majority of the community was against the games. Hilton stated if there wasn't a genuine excitement for the games, it might be decided not to have big night celebrations on Main Street. They could turn to the resorts to see if they would be interested in being a venue. He thought the community in general was very supportive of hosting a future games. Bullock stated if that was the community sentiment, there was work to do. Council Member Toly asked what relationship the committee had with Los Angeles, California, and what could be learned from them. Bullock stated Los Angeles had fantastic games and the committee wanted to support them. Discussions with Los Angeles were positive and they were working through the issues, such as sponsorships.

Chair Robinson suggested the polling be more than a yes/no questionnaire. He hoped for an information meeting with a detailed questionnaire. He asked what the committee recommended for convening and building unity for the games. Hilton stated there was a subcommittee for host venue communities and he wanted a regional host venue community committee. He indicated there should be Council liaisons for the Olympics and they could be part of this group. The group could give and receive information. Bullock suggested convening the City/County Councils joint meeting every six months so everyone would be going in the same direction.

Bullock reviewed the challenges stated today and noted the committee supported the entities. He wanted the future games to leave a lasting legacy that was positive for the community. Hilton indicated the committee would bolster the local efforts to resolve challenges. Council Member Gerber suggested telling the 2002 Olympic story since there were many who did not live here 20 years ago. Council Member Dickey thought the group should meet the community where they were; in farmers markets, at grocery

stores, etc. He thought asking people what they thought of the Olympics coming and building infrastructure was a positive pitch to the community.

III. ADJOURNMENT

With no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Michelle Kellogg, City Recorder



Park City and Summit County Joint Council Meeting with SLC-UT Committee for a Future Games

Summit County:

Chris Robinson, Chair
Roger Armstrong, Vice Chair
Doug Clyde
Malena Stevens
Glenn Wright
Tom Fisher, County Manager

Park City:

Nann Worel, Mayor
Ryan Dickey
Max Doilney
Becca Gerber
Jeremy Rubell
Tana Toly
Matt Dias, City Manager

SLC-UT Committee:

Catherine Raney Norman, Chair
Fraser Bullock, Pres/CEO
Colin Hilton
Darren Hughes
Tom Kelly
Lisa Weeks Valiant

Agenda:

- I. Roll Call
- II. Olympic & Paralympic Debriefing
 - a. Utah Olympic Legacy Foundation Perspective – tying together the past, current, future
 - b. 20 Year SL2002 & Legacy Perspective:
 - i. Catherine Raney Norman – athletes experience '02 & today
 - ii. Fraser Bullock – reflections on early vision & financials
 - iii. Darren Hughes – Utah core competencies, hospitality & volunteerism
 - iv. Tom Kelly – Legacy & Sport
 - c. Update on exploratory and future Games Bid interests & efforts to date
 - d. Council Questions & Comments
 - i. Facilitated by Council Chair, Mayor, and Colin
 - ii. Possible topics to discuss:
 - Historical commitments / early discussion thoughts
 - Approach to Games Planning – what's different / desired to be different?
 - Current Discussions with Venue Owners; factors involved
 - Community Celebrations – Main Street / Kimball Junction?
 - Steps to create community involvement & Input
 - Transportation Planning
 - City/County/Regional Goals?
- III. Adjournment

Historical & More Recent Overview of Planning Efforts

Historical Comparison:

Park City Municipal's 2002 Winter Olympics Community Compact

Best Olympics Ever; Public Costs Covered; Enduring Physical Legacies; Manage Impacts; Olympic City Recognition; Involve Our Children; Boost Resort Economy

More Recent Exploratory Efforts:

1) 2012, 2018 State-wide Exploratory Committees

2) 2019 Creation of the Salt Lake City – Utah Committee for a Future Games (SLC-UT CFG)

- a. 79+ Member Strategic Board, 33+ member Governing Board
- b. Subcommittee's including a Central Host Venue Communities Committee (HVCC)

3) Local Park City – Summit County efforts to create a Joint Planning Committee:

- a. 2018 – 2020
- b. Mayor & Council liaisons to Exploratory & SLC-UT Committee efforts
- c. Concept of PC-SC Regional Host Venue Communities Committee (PC-SC HVCC)

4) *Discussions to date: (Previous DRAFT Thoughts)* A Future Olympic & Paralympic Games could allow our regional community to advance key Community Sustainability and Olympic Legacy Goals, such as:

- a. **Financial** - Public Costs Covered & Endowing the Future
 - i. All incremental Games period governmental costs covered
 - ii. Ensure Utah Olympic Legacy Foundation's Legacy Fund is Re-endowed
 - iii. Help showcase to the world a new financially sustainable model
- b. **Environmental** – Use existing venues, source renewable energy, advance climate positive measures
 - i. No new venue construction
 - ii. Advance Air Quality goals; focus on renewable energy sources
 - iii. Monitor & act upon reducing carbon footprint
 - iv. Compact / Net Zero / Zero waste
- c. **Community/Improved Quality of Life** – Not just sustained
 - i. Fortify critical priorities of:
 - 1. Transportation – improve service levels to both visitors and residents through a focus on public transportation and correlating infrastructure improvements. Smart mobility.
 - 2. Social equity
 - 3. Affordable Housing
 - 4. Energy Use
- d. **Sport & Recreation** – advance the original values of Olympism
 - i. Continue efforts to use sport & recreation programs to build life skills in our youth
 - ii. Celebrate & showcase our community's passion for winter sport
 - iii. Support athlete development of all ages & ability levels
 - iv. Inspire another Generation

Salt Lake City–Utah Committee for the Games (SLC-UTCG)

(Draft) Operating Principles for Games Delivery

in Utah’s Communities



1. Games Vision

- Still in Development

2. Games Delivery Principles

Dimension	Key Principles
Accessibility	• Accessibility will be fully integrated into the OCOG’s plans for the Games, guided by the International Paralympic Committee’s Accessibility Guide. • All Games venues will meet national accessibility standards. • The OCOG workforce will be trained in disability awareness and role-specific accessibility needs. • The OCOG’s diversity, equity and inclusion efforts will address people with impairments.
Affordability	• The OCOG ticketing program will include affordable ticket options for the Olympic and Paralympic Winter Games. • The OCOG, in collaboration with the IOC and IPC, will pursue opportunities to bring Games events into public spaces. • The OCOG will work with public partners and private organizations to present a cultural program that includes free and low-cost activities.
Cooperation	• The OCOG will work collaboratively with host communities on achieving their Games objectives.
Diversity, Equity & Inclusion	• The OCOG will reach for diversity, equity and inclusion in all Games activities.
Education	• The OCOG will partner with public stakeholders to develop and implement an educational curriculum for Utah’s school children based on the values of Olympism and Paralympism.
Engagement	• The OCOG will implement extensive engagement programs prior to and during the Olympic and Paralympic Winter Games to ensure broad participation among Utah residents. • The OCOG will engage extensively on the Games vision, sport, Olympism and Paralympism, and Games impact and legacy.
Environment	• The OCOG will deliver climate-positive Games in partnership with stakeholders. • The OCOG will develop a carbon management plan for Games-related activities. • The OCOG, in partnership with the State of Utah and host venue communities, will establish a Sustainability Committee to oversee the fulfillment of Games sustainability objectives.
Ethics	• The OCOG will conduct its activities in good faith, with integrity, and the highest ethical standards
Finance	• The OCOG will deliver the Games on budget with private funding sources. • The OCOG will contribute to the long-term financial stability of sport in Utah.

Dimension	Key Principles
Healthy Lifestyles	The OCOG will leverage its education, public engagement, sport participation, and volunteer programs to encourage active and healthy lifestyles before, during, and after the Games.
Human Rights	The OCOG will develop and implement a human rights strategy for its operations consistent with the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.
Jobs	- An extensive OCOG young professionals and apprentice program will be implemented to provide opportunities for host community residents and improve the human legacy of the Games. - OCOG staff will be paid a living wage. - The OCOG will implement a workforce safeguarding program that provides a positive working environment and promotes equality and fairness, free of harm or adverse treatment. - The OCOG will integrate with the USOPC athlete career and education program
Sourcing and Resource Management	The OCOG will develop and implement a resource management plan to optimize the life cycles of products and materials.
Sustainable procurement	The OCOG procurement program will integrate sustainability objectives in all agreements and include monitoring requirements.
Tourism	The OCOG will promote each venue host community's desired threshold for sustainable tourism for visiting Games participants.
Transparency	- The OCOG will conduct its activities with the highest levels of integrity and transparency. - The OCOG will pursue strong public engagement and extensive public input into Games planning. - The OCOG governance structure will include independent and host venue community representation and conduct regular public meetings and information sharing with the public.
Transportation	- The OCOG will maximize use of public transport for all Games stakeholders. - The OCOG will maximize use of clean transport systems for moving stakeholders. - The OCOG will encourage active mobility for accessing venues where possible. - The OCOG will strive to influence public authorities and private partners in adopting air quality improvement initiatives through its sustainability program.