



PARK CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
1255 PARK AVENUE
PARK CITY, SUMMIT COUNTY, UTAH 84060

March 2, 2023

The Council of Park City, Summit County, Utah, met in open meeting on March 2, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. in the Park City Library Community Room.

STRATEGIC PLANNING RETREAT

Mayor Worel opened the meeting and stated the topics on the agenda were issues the Council wanted to discuss further. She noted Council Member Rubell was temporarily excused.

I. ROLL CALL

Attendee Name	Status
Mayor Nann Worel Council Member Ryan Dickey Council Member Max Doilney Council Member Becca Gerber Council Member Jeremy Rubell Council Member Tana Toly Matt Dias, City Manager Margaret Plane, City Attorney Michelle Kellogg, City Recorder	Present
None	Excused

II. PUBLIC INPUT

Mayor Worel opened the meeting for any who wished to speak or submit comments on items not on the agenda.

Randy Barton stated Salt Lake City built Abravanel Hall and it was dedicated to the arts. Ballet West needed a home and the Capitol Theatre was converted by Salt Lake City. He thought those assets brought restaurants and other amenities to the area. He reviewed other efforts made to support the arts by Salt Lake City. He transitioned to talking about the arts in Park City and the beginnings of the Kimball Art Center (KAC), Egyptian Theatre, KPCW, and Sundance. He saw the City put money into recreation, open space, and trails. Now was the time to make arts and culture a priority.

Jo Ann Stack-Askins promoted the arts in Park City. She gave an example of how arts brought the community together and stated art helped break down barriers. She asserted the City needed to do better than where the KAC was currently located. She asked Council to support the arts.

Karen Urankar indicated she also was advocating for the arts in the community. She thought kids needed exposure to the arts. They also needed to participate with art. She was grateful that Council was considering how to use the Bonanza Park space. She also thought the Ironhorse and Snow Creek area could be reimaged as well.

Angela Moschetta stated the arts and culture district sounded like a good idea, but the reality didn't make it appealing. Transportation and housing issues ruled the community. She stated Future IQ had partnered with MKSK to do a feasibility study. She noted Council Member Doilney asked if the community wanted an arts and culture district. She suggested making the Brew Pub Lot a home for Sundance and the City Hall a place for KAC. She asked the Council members to pause the feasibility study and put the question on the ballot.

Jocelyn Scudder, Director of Park City and Summit County Arts Council, advocated for arts and culture and asserted that was one reason she came to the area. She referred to Vision 2020 and noted the energy after that process. The City passed the Transient Room Tax (TRT) for the arts and she thought that was a win for artists. She thought the City supported the arts and now people were saying the visioning process showed arts wasn't a priority. She indicated there was a need to invest in a community arts and cultural center in the Bonanza Park area.

Aldy Milliken, KAC Executive Director, encouraged Council to approve the MKSK contract. The City was in a different place than it was a few years ago. These experts had experience in the cultural sector. He thought the study would be a good reset. He didn't think KAC moving back to Main Street was the best option and he wanted to see what the experts recommended.

Megan McKenna, Mountainlands Community Housing Trust Housing Resource Center, stated there was a meeting yesterday where J1 employees were discussed. She thought the issues revolved around the affordable housing crisis. She also thought the traffic problem was impacted by the lack of housing.

Devery Harper discussed the need for childcare. She was fortunate enough to be in PC Tots, but there was a big waitlist. She thought the workforce shortage was due to lack of childcare options.

Joel Lazrow thanked Council for being transparent and for all their work doing what was best for the City. He noted the federal grant for childcare was no longer funded. He thought Council needed to consider the role of the City for early childcare.

Diego Zegarra stated childcare and housing had an impact on the City's sustainability goals.

Mayor Worel closed the public input portion of the meeting.

III. CONSENT AGENDA

1. Request to Authorize the City Manager to Enter into a Contract with MKSK, in a Form Approved by the City Attorney, for Consultant Services for a Feasibility Study for the Community Property at Bonanza and Kearns and for a Small Area Plan for the Bonanza/Snow Creek Neighborhood, in an Amount Not to Exceed \$389,100:

Council Member Dickey moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Council Member Gerber seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED

AYES: Council Members Dickey, Doilney, Gerber, and Toly

EXCUSED: Council Member Rubell

IV. NATIONAL COMMUNITY SURVEY RESULTS

Linda Jager, Community Engagement Manager, and Brandon Bennett with Polco, who administered the survey, were present for this item. Jager stated the survey results helped Council determine City priorities. Bennett stated over 500 communities participated in the survey and it included comparable resort communities to Park City. He reviewed the outreach efforts made to notify residents of the survey and encourage them to take it. He noted 972 total surveys were received. Bennett explained how his team determined priorities of respondents.

Council Member Rubell joined the meeting at 9:35 a.m.

Bennett stated 90% gave the City excellent or good as a good place to live. Affordability was an area of concern. Transportation was a category that respondents felt needed improvement. Community Design was lower than scores received in 2017. Safety scored well, but there was some concern regarding questions on natural disasters and fire. The Recreation and Natural Environment scored high. Some key takeaways included the City was still a desirable place to live. The cost of living, affordability of quality childcare, affordable housing, and mental health resources were concerns.

Mayor Worel stated the overall direction Park City was taking decreased yet there was overall confidence in the local government, and she asked how that was reconciled. Bennett stated there was a good connection in the government, but there appeared to be decisions made in 2015 that hadn't been resolved. Council Member Gerber asked about the cost of living. Bennett stated the drop in cost of living was not significant but in looking nationwide, affordability was trending downward.

Council Member Toly asked if affordable food was referring to restaurants, grocery stores, etc. Bennett stated it referred to affordable quality food. The resident perception of quality food did not match the affordability they were looking for. Council Member Toly asked if there was data on state regulations on land use and how that affected the scores. Bennett indicated some of the items were not unique to the certain type of state where residents lived.

Council Member Dickey asked if the degree of dissatisfaction on affordability was low, to which Bennett affirmed. Council Member Rubell indicated the negative for him was distrust of local government and the direction the City was going. He hoped the government could be more consistent in the future. The positive for him was the ease of travel by car since that score was low by strategy. The City tried to get people out of cars. He stated the recent speed limit reductions had resulted in a 10%-20 % decrease in speeds, which was good. There was also less cut through traffic through the neighborhoods. He hoped that could be dissected in future surveys.

Council Member Gerber noted most of the traffic changes made this winter was close to or after the survey cutoff. People were getting used to the changes, and she thought it would be interesting to see future surveys based on the changes.

Council Member Toly indicated there were questions on local and federal government services and she asked if a question could be included on state government services. Bennett stated that was taken off for this survey. The state was a predictable rating. The federal government had a lower rating. The question was removed to allow entities to add custom questions regarding their communities.

Council Member Doilney noted the things that stood out to him. Regarding the direction the City was going, he asserted that he could see satisfaction with cars being lower because the City didn't want people to travel via car. He thought there was success when the City stayed focused on issues.

V. CRITICAL COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

Mayor Worel reviewed there was discussion among Council members that the Council priorities were unclear. She thought this exercise would clarify and cement Council priorities. Linda Jager, Community Engagement Manager, reviewed the history of the City's visioning processes in 2009 and 2020 and the priorities that resulted from those. She noted in looking at peer communities, they had similar priorities. Jed Briggs, Budget Manager, stated the purpose of having critical priorities could be summed up by saying, "If we don't get these right, it could have a significant negative impact on our community." He stressed the priorities should be a clear and shared vision on where the City should go in the next five years. They should inform the budgeting process, add relevance and clearly communicate projects and initiatives, be a lens to evaluate process, initiatives and the budget, and be the City's North Star. He also noted there should only be a few and they should be easy to share with others and be easily understood.

Briggs stated the critical priorities should not be comprehensive, but very focused. They should not be a strategic plan. He noted Council needed to balance the priorities with core and essential services. The current critical priorities were Equity, Environmental Leadership, Transportation, and Housing. He asked Council to discuss them to see if they wanted to keep them as priorities. Mayor Worel added Council should also talk about what they wanted to see accomplished with each priority this year.

Council Member Gerber stated she had requested pulling housing out of other priorities like equity. Council Member Rubell thought there should be strategy for a good community design. There were things the City knew were important, but this conversation focused on what the City wanted to improve. Council Member Doilney stated the critical priorities were discretionary issues that Council could focus on. The City always funded core and essential services.

Council Member Rubell wanted to see the core services of Police and Public Utilities part of the critical priorities. Council Member Doilney felt the City always performed well with core services. The Council needed to focus on areas where the City was falling short. He liked keeping the same priorities and adding Childcare to the Equity priority. Council Member Rubell thought it was a huge effort to get the Neighborhoods First program running. He wanted to know what the City was doing to provide services to the community. Council Member Toly thought economic vibrancy was important. The City did that well, but she wondered if it should be a priority. She didn't think the City had met the goals from the 2009 visioning process.

Council Member Dickey proposed that the critical priorities should be reviewed every year to determine their status. He wanted Equity and Affordability together and he thought not breaking out Housing would be better. In that category, Childcare would be included. He thought Recreation should also be a critical priority as well as Arts and Culture.

Mayor Worel asked Briggs how essential services were ranked in the budgeting process. Briggs stated they ranked high because they were critical. Those decisions were made without the Council having to direct staff on those things. Council Member Rubell asked if they would score services higher if they were a critical priority to which Briggs affirmed. Regarding recreation, staff didn't consider it an essential service and budgeting was more discretionary in that area. Council Member Doilney stated the recreation question would be on the ballot for the community to decide. The critical priorities were long term visioning that would make a difference. Council Member Dickey stated there was a lot of the bond that would be for maintenance. He thought maybe that was a deficiency in the past and that's why it was on the bond now. He also thought land use would impact affordability through density, so there were things Council could do that weren't subsidies. He stated Recreation was central to the City's identity and should be on the list. Council Member Gerber indicated the critical priorities were things the City was failing at and attention needed to be put on those things or

there was a negative consequence. She suggested changing Equity to Diversity, Equity and Inclusion (DEI).

Council Member Toly noted the National Survey results indicated the community didn't think it was headed in the right direction. She didn't think the City had a great representation at the state level. Council Member Doilney thought the community wanted to keep Park City Park City and the City was failing at keeping that. The community was headed to corporatization. Council Member Toly asked that the central word in the critical priorities be "Livability," with other goals surrounding it.

Council Member Rubell wanted to add Infrastructure and Utilities, Workforce Services, Public Safety, and Recreation to the list. Council Member Toly added Community Design. She thought it needed to be in place for the Olympics, so the state didn't plan for the City.

The proposed list of potential critical priorities included: Livability, Arts and Culture, Recreation, Environment, Affordability/Housing, Equity/DEI, Transportation, Infrastructure and Utilities, Workforce Services (Experience), Public Safety, and Community Design.

The Council Members selected their top choices:

Council Member Doilney - Affordability, Transportation, Arts and Culture, with Equity and Environment as sub-priorities.

Council Member Toly - Community Design, Transportation, Affordability (Livability), Recreation.

Council Member Gerber - Affordability/Housing, Equity/DEI. She later added Arts and Culture and Local Culture.

Council Member Dickey - Affordability/Equity, Transportation, Recreation, Arts and Culture.

Council Member Rubell - Community Design, Workforce Services, Transportation, Core Services Livability.

Mayor Worel - Community Design

The Council members asked for a lens list through which all projects would be looked at. They moved Livability, Equity/DEI, and Environmental Leadership to the lens list.

Council Member Doilney asked why Arts and Culture wasn't at the top of the list. Council Member Rubell stated it was scored low on the recent survey. Council Member Toly argued this was a reason to have Community Design as a priority. The town needed to be planned and the Arts and Culture study was part of that. Council Member Gerber thought Community Design would be part of the General Plan update, but the two failing areas were Affordability and Equity. Mayor Worel noted Community Design was scored high in the survey, and the City was investing a lot in updating the General Plan. Parking was also part of the Community Design. She would consider this a

priority. Council Member Rubell prioritized Affordable Workforce Housing over Affordable Housing for someone who worked in Salt Lake City. That was the distinction for him.

Council Member Dickey stated the City had a mix of things that were done and a mix of outcomes from those things. He felt Community Design was designing the community to enable transit and make it affordable. It was using zoning to achieve things. The General Plan was a tool for Community Design. He thought Arts and Local Culture was focusing on Park City's unique culture.

Council Member Doilney thought the City did well with Recreation, but was failing at Arts and Culture. He didn't think the City was failing at Community Design. The City owned very little land and it had limited control of what happens going forward. Council Member Rubell asked if Community Design should be a lens. Council Member Toly thought there was a lot of regional planning and resort collaboration, and the City needed to be sure it all worked together, and that was part of Community Design. Council Member Rubell thought spot planning was a problem, and he thought there should be a holistic strategy. He stated the General Plan flowed into the LMC that determined policy. Council Member Doilney didn't think that was a critical priority. Mayor Worel asked Council to set measurable goals on the priorities.

VI. LUNCH AND CRITICAL COMMUNITY PRIORITIES (CONTINUED)

Matt Dias, City Manager, reviewed this had been a tough discussion so far, and no matter what, someone would criticize the final decision. He recollected that years ago, it was easy to figure out the priority because it was growing the resorts and community. Then the strategy changed to Keep Park City Park City and the goal was to slow things down. In 2016, 40 priorities were narrowed down to four critical priorities. The former Council could do that because the core services were functioning well. Then COVID stalled the progress. Now there was inflation and the City dealt with that as well as building up technology and fulltime staff. He reminded Council there was no right or wrong answer to critical priorities and he and staff would support their decisions.

Council Member Toly thought the City should protect what the City had. Preservation and stewardship should be part of the focus. It was determined that could be under Local Culture. Council Member Rubell asked what the goal was for Affordability and Housing. Council Member Doilney didn't think workforce necessarily built community. There was a lot of affordability issues that were not around Housing. Council Member Gerber stated the City's current role in Housing was to focus on year-round residents and workforce, and businesses should focus on housing for the seasonal workforce. If Housing was a critical priority, then they needed to discuss it for workforce, seniors, and neurodiverse groups. Council Member Rubell stated the City had a hard time hiring bus drivers, teachers, police officers, etc. He asked what the role of the government was. He wanted to focus on workforce affordable housing. He wanted the City's Affordable Housing to be narrowed to the City government workforce. Council Member Dickey

agreed. Council Member Toly agreed, but she didn't want to go backwards with senior housing. Mayor Worel stated the next part of the conversation would be what needed to be done to push Affordable Housing forward.

Mayor Worel reviewed Transportation and Affordability/Housing were the critical priorities. She asked if there should only be two critical priorities. She explained Council also discussed items that could be lenses. Briggs asserted critical priorities should be things that needed a lot of resources. He displayed a chart from 2009 and noted that staff reports at that time needed to include: Quality of Life Impact, Environmental Impact, Social Equity Impact, and Economic Impact. It was decided Transportation and Housing were critical priorities. Council Member Dickey stated Housing was a subset of affordability as well as Childcare. He supported Transportation and Affordability.

After further discussion, Mayor Worel noted there was no consensus on Childcare as a critical priority, but there was consensus for Transportation and Housing. It was noted Childcare could be an area of focus. She stated the lenses for these critical priorities were Community Design, Livability/Affordability, Equity, and Environmental Leadership.

VII. TRANSPORTATION STRATEGY AND PLANNING

Matt Neeley, Johnny Wasden, Kim Fjeldsted, and Andrew Leatham presented this item. Neeley reviewed he presented to Council on February 16th and today he would follow up. He distributed a parking stall sheet and he stated there were about 125 stalls in the City. At the last meeting, they also discussed the Silver bus route. Neeley stated there was a lot of use at the Richardson Flat Park and Ride during the sold-out ski days. That showed the lot was used when it was promoted. He indicated there was outreach to know how the Silver route was being used. The recommendation was to use the Silver route throughout the shoulder season seven days a week from 6:45 a.m. to 7:45 p.m. with a 40 minute frequency through November. He noted the community liked the route, but the complaint was it didn't go directly to the resort.

Mayor Worel stated this route was an asset to PC Heights. She asked if microtransit would take residents home if the bus route ended at 7:45 p.m. Neeley stated microtransit would end mid-April and noted he would come back to Council in May with an end-of-season update and would report on the use then. Council Member Rubell wanted consistency with all bus routes and wanted the hours to extend to match other routes. Council Members Toly, Gerber, and Doilney supported the proposal. Council Member Dickey asked if the frequency was ridership driven, to which Neeley affirmed. Council Member Rubell asked for more outreach to see if it would be used more at 20-minute frequency. Neeley stated they were trying to manage cost with usage. Council Member Rubell stated it wasn't just PC Heights. Many people in town liked the route because it was more direct to the high school. Neeley summarized they would ensure the survey was broad enough.

Neeley briefed the group on microtransit and indicated the zones were expanded to include all the City and it would run through April 16th. Mayor Worel stated people were towed from Fresh Market because they thought that was overflow ski parking. She asked if there could be an overall parking plan for the City. Wasden indicated there should be an allocation of resources. He thought memorandums of understanding (MOU) could be set up between the City and private entities, but he didn't know if the City wanted to take on that role. Neeley indicated the City and County applied for a grant for a park and ride solutions study. Part of the study would assess the parking demand in the City.

Leatham stated he tracked the ski resorts' parking. The Park City Mountain (PCM) reservations were full, but the physical lots were rarely full. He thought overflow parking was used because drivers didn't want to pay \$25 to park. Council Member Doilney asked about the responsibility of regulating parking in the community if both ski resorts charged for parking which might result in empty lots. Council Member Rubell asked to discuss China Bridge parking fees at a future meeting.

Sarah Pearce, Deputy City Manager, introduced Maria Vyas, Transportation Facilitator, to lead the discussion. Erik Daenitz, Economic Development Manager, gave some highlights of transportation challenges. Citywide traffic congestion had not gotten significantly worse. Peak days were 35% of the year, with December through March having the majority of peak days. He acknowledged SR248 was always challenging. The overwhelming majority of traffic was from visitors.

Julia Collins and Alex Roy, Transportation Planning, and John Robertson and Gabe Shields, Engineering, were present for this item. Collins reviewed a project delivery timeline to give the Council a sense of realistic expectations. Shields gave an example of a project the City completed and the time involved. Council Member Dickey asked how long the grant process was. Collins stated they budgeted for the projects and that helped them get grants. The grant and project went along simultaneously. Robertson discussed the process of the Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project.

Vyas discussed Transportation's Guiding Principles:

1. Develop a Park Once Community: This would reduce emissions and reduce parking needs within the City. Council Member Doilney didn't know who was being served and who was benefitting. He wanted to know the City's goals. Council Member Toly stated school traffic was a problem and proposed developments should be considered too. Council Member Gerber wanted the City to be a car optional community.

2. Collaborating with regional partners on long-range transportation solutions: Vyas felt this could be for park and rides and commuter services. Roy hoped to look at how to work with other entities. Council Member Doilney wanted to know what would make sense for the community, but he knew it wasn't unlimited visitors, whether or not they were in cars or not.

The Council decided to jump from guiding principles to target who the projects would benefit. Council Member Dickey said he heard the City needed to get cars off roads to improve traffic. He didn't know if getting people onto buses would help the traffic. Vyas stated long-term solutions helped with emissions and behavior. Council Member Doilney thought the City needed to reduce parking in town to make a difference, but that would mean more onsite workforce housing. Council Member Gerber noted other resort towns had minimal parking. Council Member Rubell thought the parking could shift and we could have a strategy to change the supply. Vyas summarized Council Members Dickey, Doilney, and Gerber wanted to change the behavior of those coming into town. Mayor Worel noted all visitors had to park outside of town for the 2002 Olympics and that was the easiest time for residents to get around town. Council Member Dickey didn't want to provide a high-capacity solution like trains because the cars would still come in plus there would be more people in town from the trains. He thought reduced parking wasn't the only solution. There had to be a comprehensive solution.

Neeley noted the best way to attack a problem was with incremental solutions. He asked Council to accept Transit's recommendations and see if the strategies worked. Council Member Dickey thought dramatic changes had the most effect. Neeley asserted there would be growth in neighboring areas, but it would happen gradually. Vyas summarized Council supported people parking and using other modes of transportation in town. Pearce asked if Council wanted to build a park and ride and if so, she wanted to consider the tradeoffs. Vyas asked if Council thought it was acceptable to prioritize Transit and change people's behaviors with the tradeoff of giving people less freedom with when and how they travel and agreeing to more congestion on peak days.

The Council discussed the proposed Gordo Park and Ride tradeoffs. Pearce noted it would be a multiple year project that would span several Council terms. She offered alternatives to Gordo, such as transit that would go everywhere. Council Member Doilney thought the park and ride was needed so there would be more carpooling into town. There also had to be a robust transit system in town. The walkability project needed to be connected from the north side of the community to the south side.

Collins explained the benefits of the Gordo site for a park and ride, including it was City owned property and the Richardson Flat signal was there. Roy thought the property could be a mixed-use property, serving transit and housing. Another question to consider was if the City should spend a lot of money to address peak days that equaled 12% of the year. Robertson thought 700 stalls could be at the Gordo site. Mayor Worel supported evaluating that project and thought the ski resorts could partner with the City to construct it. Council Member Gerber thought it was a great investment, but it would not be a complete solution to the traffic problem. Council Member Toly supported it as well. Council Member Dickey stated the City couldn't control parking. The parking would only be useful as long as the resort parking was full. That would mean a more crowded town and mountain. He thought it would be a problem unless the resort parking was reduced and transit was increased. Council Member Gerber stated parking on Park

Avenue was reduced, China Bridge parking now charged a fee, and other measures were being taken. Council Member Toly thought the park and ride would be good for construction parking. Collins offered to do a planning study on the site if Council directed. She also wanted to plan a SR248 traffic plan for BRT feasibility. She suggested reducing master planned development (MPD) parking requirements by 20%. Dias stated there would be consequences to a reduced parking requirement. There would be ramifications if the redevelopment of Prospector and Bonanza Park areas were under parked. Also, development in the City would be easier with fewer parking requirements, and the City heard the residents didn't want more development.

Council Member Doilney wanted to explore the Gordo Park and Ride. The resorts would need to participate and build workforce housing. Council Member Rubell agreed and stated partnership with the resorts was critical. He thought it could be a good connection point for regional transit. Council Member Rubell indicated his support for Gordo hinged on doing a building block approach and plan in a way so that an innovative approach could be considered, such as aerial, trains, or other modes.

Regarding capital projects, Collins stated their team would be making a westbound transit lane, and they would do a more comprehensive study for SR248. They wanted to partner with UDOT to come up with solutions to make transit faster and more reliable. Collins stated four projects she wanted to pursue was a Gordo evaluation and site assessment, a SR248 long-term corridor analysis, and a parking evaluation – both looking at the City's policy and how the City was managing supply and demand. Roy stated he could look at emerging technologies to see if there were viable modes that weren't being considered and move it into an alternatives analysis.

Mayor Worel stated she would be talking about the Quinn's Park and Ride at the Council of Governments meeting and asked if the Council wanted to pursue it or redirect the funds. Council Member Dickey wanted to redirect the funds. Council Member Gerber stated a fatal flaws analysis had not been done for the Gordo site. Collins stated they could set up a fund for a SR248 parking solution. The Council members agreed to set up a fund for that area.

Council Member Rubell asked what would be done for the neighborhoods since the microtransit pilot was ending in April. Neeley stated he would come back in April with ideas. One idea was to issue an RFP for the service so they could get an idea of the cost. Council Member Toly asked for a regional solution for Salt Lake and Wasatch County visitors.

Other projects in the pipeline were noted. Collins stated the Engineering team was enhancing bus stops at the A Fresh Market and federal funding was granted for that. They looked at bus shelter capital improvements in residential areas. Another project was on Lower Deer Valley Drive to improve the pavement which would include a bike lane and BRT shoulders. They would do crossing improvements at the roundabout and

would look at trails as well. Mayor Worel asked for a crossing solution for Aerie residents. Collins stated that would be looked at as well.

Council Member Rubell asked about pedestrian improvements on SR224. Roy stated they would include additional east/west crossings for analysis. Council Member Toly asked for turn signals at McPolin and asked for a double turn lane onto Deer Valley Drive from SR224. Roy stated UDOT had been exploring that. Collins noted an additional turn lane could take away a future transit lane. They were looking at all possibilities. Council Member Toly also asked Transportation to look at Main Street and Swede Alley for pedestrian solutions and also noted some of the streets were very narrow in Old Town.

Vyas asked what Council wanted to see from Transportation that they weren't seeing. Council Member Rubell stated it was helpful to have benchmark data that showed other places where proposed solutions worked. He wanted to see examples of successes or failures. He didn't want the City to start from scratch. Council Member Toly stated she wanted to see a project tracker so when one topic was discussed, she didn't have to wonder where other projects were in the process.

Council Members Doilney and Gerber requested seeing a strong recommendation in staff reports. Shields asked what metrics and measurables Council wanted to see data on. Council Member Gerber noted there wasn't an easily communicable goal for traffic. She asked for staff to propose goals for ridership or cars coming into town. It was indicated that having something to measure against would help. There was further discussion on what was desired in staff reports.

Pearce indicated there was no time for disruptive transportation ideas at this meeting. She suggested a community meeting where the public, staff and Council could discuss and suggest ideas. Council Member Toly asked if this was what the study was doing. Pearce stated the study had specific parameters, but she thought the consultant could attend this meeting and listen. Council Member Dickey wanted to be purposeful and clear on what the City would get.

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

With no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Michelle Kellogg, City Recorder