



**PARK CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
445 MARSAC AVENUE
PARK CITY, UTAH 84060**

May 12, 2016

The Council of Park City, Summit County, Utah, met in open meeting on May 12, 2016, at 2:00 p.m. in the City Council Chambers.

Council Member Gerber moved to close the meeting to discuss property, personnel and litigation at 2:04 p.m. Council Member Worel seconded the motion. Voting Aye: Council Members Beerman, Gerber, Henney, Matsumoto and Worel.

CLOSED SESSION

Council Member Beerman moved to adjourn from Closed Meeting. Council Member Worel seconded the motion. Voting Aye: Council Members Beerman, Gerber, Henney, Matsumoto and Worel.

WORK SESSION

Mayor Pro Tem Beerman opened the meeting at Mayor Thomas' request, and noted Mayor Thomas would be in shortly.

Council Questions and Comments:

Council Member Henney stated he attended a County, City and School District joint meeting, and indicated that he was very impressed that the School District was aligning its priorities with the City's priorities. The School District was now part of the team considering the Master Recreation Facilities Plan, and was also committed to the LED light bulb switch challenge. They were also involved in the Eats Program, which would bring whole foods into the schools. It was important to him to see all the stakeholders in the community united in their efforts to accomplish great things. He also attended Mountainlands Community Housing Trust Board meeting, where he spoke to the group about the City's land acquisition of the Woodside and Bonanza properties, noting that these properties would be used to build affordable housing.

Mayor Thomas arrived at 3:23 p.m.

Council Member Henney stated he also attended the City Service Awards dinner and it was nice to see those dedicated and committed City employees. He went to see the

Cars vs. Bikes film at the Santy Auditorium, and also attended a Transportation Taskforce meeting, in which they were still discussing a funding mechanism for the transportation projects. He also spoke about having a discussion with regard to Park City Mountain using the name Park City, and requested that this item be discussed at a future work meeting.

Council Member Gerber stated she attended some of the same meetings as Council Member Henney. She also attended a Peace House meeting, and noted they were engaged in a capital campaign and would break ground in February. Part of the project would be constructing modular housing for victims. She attended a Summit Lands breakfast and listened to Scott Sampson speak. She also attended a Planning Commission meeting, where she saw a plan for Bonanza Park.

Council Member Worel indicated she attended a Public Art Advisory Board meeting that met jointly with the Summit County Art Advisory Board, and noted they were working on public art policies. They also discussed the need to inventory the art in the City. She attended the bike fair before the Cars vs. Bikes film, and she also met with Sarah Pearce and Council Member Gerber to discuss Sundance. Pearce expressed her gratitude for all that staff had done over the years to make Sundance a success. Council Member Worel also indicated that she met with the Arts and Culture group.

Council Member Matsumoto stated she attended the County, City and School District joint meeting, and noted Alfred Knotts, Transportation Planning, updated the group on the SR248 project. She and Council Member Beerman also attended a meeting on Sundance. She thanked staff for all the hard work they did for the City.

Council Member Beerman stated he went to the final Mountain Accord meeting for this phase. There were two transportation studies in place and a trails plan that was being worked on. A federal land bill, which would create a national recreation area, had also been written and given to Summit County's Congressional Representative to hopefully be considered by Congress. Council Member Beerman also attended the City Service Awards dinner, and stated it was fantastic for those being recognized for their longevity with the City. He attended a Conservation Alliance breakfast, where they focused on open space, and he attended the Bikes vs. Cars film, which he thought was great, and noted one third of the attendees biked or walked to this event.

Mayor Thomas stated he attended the County, City and School District joint meeting as well. He also had a Park City Advocates meeting about those living in poverty in this community. He stated the group was determined to make progress with regard to affordable housing and daycare. He also attended the Service Awards dinner, and noted that a fun time was had by all. Mayor Thomas stated he was also a member of a panel discussion at a United States Ski and Snowboard Association (USSA) meeting, where the values of the community were discussed.

Capital Improvement Project Budget Overview:

Nate Rockwood, Capital Budget Manager, presented this item. He stated Transit projects would be discussed at a future date. He also indicated the Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) committee was present tonight, and he explained the criteria the committee used to determine approval of a capital project, including if the project would meet the objectives of the City, consideration of the project being a “need versus a want,” whether there was a previous investment in a similar project, and the environmental impact of the requested project.

Rockwood displayed the major projects in the five-year CIP: the reconstruction of Park Avenue from Heber Avenue to Empire Avenue/Deer Valley Drive Intersection, a recreation building in City Park, Kimball Junction Transit Center, a Parks building, a Golf building, Prospector drain, soil repository, housing projects, downtown projects, downtown plazas, Old Town Infrastructure Study (OTIS), water projects, water energy projects, storm water projects, Streets and Water maintenance building, security projects, building permit issuance software, a guardrail along a section of Royal Street and Deer Valley Drive, and the McPolin Barn Seismic Upgrade.

It was indicated that these projects would include \$278 million in recommended funding. Affordable housing accounted for \$40 million, over \$70 million was allocated for water projects, \$22 million was dedicated for projects that would be transferred from the General Fund, and over \$70 million in debt would be secured over the next five years to cover the balance of the projects. Rockwood stated there was \$7 million budgeted for ongoing capital replacements. He displayed slides covering the projects with their associated costs, and showed the list of projects that were not approved for the five-year CIP.

Council Member Beerman asked about replacing irrigation lines. Rockwood stated a lot of infrastructure went into that project so water usage could be controlled. The current budgeted funds would be used to maintain that system.

Rockwood reviewed the projects with their associated costs. He also explained the projects that would be funded through the Resort Communities Sales Tax, including the Historic Park City/Main Street and Downtown projects, OTIS, open space, storm drain improvements, Deer Valley Drive Phase II, Park Avenue and housing projects.

Council Member Beerman stated he hoped some of this sales tax revenue might be earmarked for the City's critical priorities, but he saw that not all of these approved projects involved the critical priorities. Council Member Matsumoto asked if the street projects could be placed under OTIS. Matt Cassel, City Engineer, stated he didn't know how far into the future the Council would want to bump out the OTIS projects. Cassel stated he had been working on rotted storm drains in downtown and felt the City was on borrowed time with regard to replacing the system on Park Avenue. Council Member Beerman asked that staff consider moving this project to OTIS and reprioritizing the

projects within that category. Council Member Henney asked if the Park Avenue reconstruction project cost totaled the \$4.5 million as shown tonight. Cassel indicated the \$4.5 million would cover the street component, sidewalks, curb and gutter, and soil, but the project would have more costs from other funding sources.

Council Member Beerman stressed the critical priorities were underfunded, and he questioned the urgency of other projects. Mayor Thomas stated the community had an expectation that the City would keep its infrastructure running, so he felt some things were necessary. Council Member Beerman asked why Park Avenue had only recently become a high priority. Council Member Matsumoto stated she thought the Park Avenue project should be done. Council Member Henney agreed with Council Member Beerman, noting that he would like a more extensive conversation on this project as well as the other OTIS projects. The downtown improvements were discussed. Council Member Henney asked to revisit this funding as well.

Rockwood reviewed the Lower Park RDA, including a new recreation building at the City Park. He stated he would come back to a future meeting to discuss the funding from Resort Communities Sales Tax for the Brew Pub Plaza, OTIS, Park Avenue and Main Street.

Discuss Speed Limit Analysis in Park City:

Steven Arhart and Matt Cassel, Engineering, presented this item. Arhart stated the speed limit was set based on road configuration. He referred to case studies in Boston, Massachusetts, and Telluride, Colorado. Boston reduced speed limits as a safety measure to prevent fatalities. Telluride installed a roundabout and a raised crosswalk to slow down traffic. Arhart reviewed the data collected for Park City's roads, and concluded that the current speed limits in the City were safe. Council Member Beerman felt safety was a concern and thought community development and transit should weigh in on the data. He also thought Park City was not a typical city and felt lowering the speed limits could be an incentive to get cars off the roads. Ann Laurent, Community Development, stated she could come back with further recommendations for traffic calming. Cassel stated one City goal was to narrow streets in order to slow down traffic. In the three to four years since this goal has been implemented, only a few streets had been narrowed, and over time, more roads would continue to be narrowed.

Council Member Henney stated he understood that when speed limits were reduced, it wouldn't change the speed that vehicles travel. He hoped that lowering the speed limit would at least get motorists to slow down moderately if not completely to the newly posted speed limit. Council Member Gerber felt lowering the speed limits would just punish motorists because as a result they would receive more tickets. She thought other traffic calming incentives might be a better option to help drivers slow down. Arhart indicated if the speed limit was lowered there would be a larger gap between the fast speeders and the slow drivers. Mayor Thomas felt that speeding was a problem that needed to be discussed further. Council Member Worel thought there should be an

educational component to get the speeds lowered. Council Member Matsumoto had not heard concern from the public with regard to speed limits.

Cassel stated that the speed limit discussion went to the Neighborhood Traffic Management Program (NTMP), and he received a lot of feedback from this group. Council Member Beerman stated he would like to have further discussion on this topic. Foster recommended having a study session with different departments and the public. Knotts indicated that transit was concerned that a lower speed limit would result in bus delays and performance time. He noted this was a policy issue, and thought lowering speed limits would increase walkability, lower noise levels, etc. He thought police should be involved in the discussion as well since increased enforcement would be needed.

Discuss the Subscriber Solar Program:

Ann Ober, Sustainability, Bina Skordas, Public Utilities, and Chad Ambrose, Rocky Mountain Power (RMP), presented this item. Ober explained the Subscriber Solar Program. She felt that up to 50% of the energy cost of a meter would be saved by having solar energy assigned to that meter. Some concerns were discussed, which included the City could not install its own micro-hydro or solar systems within three years of committing to this RMP solar program. Ober explained that if the City pulled out of the program completely before the three year contract period, the City would need to pay up to \$32,000.

Council Member Henney was concerned about reserving solar blocks before determining the meters they would be assigned to. Ober stated the benefit of this change was that it would bump up renewable energy to 35% of the City's energy portfolio. She asked if there was a financial threshold for determining the amount of renewable energy to reserve. Council Member Henney was in favor of acting aggressively in obtaining renewable energy.

Council Member Gerber asked Ambrose how quickly RMP would be bringing on new phases of solar energy. Ambrose stated RMP was constantly working to acquire renewable energy and when this phase was full, a second phase would commence. Council Member Gerber asked if residents that had solar panels would be eligible to subscribe to this program. Ambrose stated those on a net meter would not be eligible. Council Member Worel asked if Phase Two would be built in the same location as Phase One. Ambrose stated that would depend on the levelized cost of energy goals. It could be in the same location or further south. Council Member Matsumoto asked how much this program would change the City's energy cost. Ober stated as of now, subscribing to this solar program would not increase the cost, and would actually reduce the cost by \$16,000. Council Member Matsumoto was in favor of buying into this program. Council Member Beerman stated he too was in favor of being aggressive in investing in solar energy. Foster asked if Ober could come back with information on buying enough solar to put the City at a 50%-60% renewable energy portfolio. Ober stated she could come back in two weeks with those figures.

Victim Advocacy Program Update:

Malena Stevens, Victims Advocate, presented this item. She reported that all City and County police officers and sheriff's deputies were trained on lethality assessments in domestic violence incidents. She referred to her staff report on domestic violence statistics.

Council Member Beerman asked how the domestic violence numbers compared to prior years. Stevens stated the reporting had changed from semi-annual to quarterly reports. She had seen the number of incidents increase every quarter, but noted the outreach had been more effective. Council Member Matsumoto asked how the community knew about the Victim Advocacy Program. Stevens stated she attended the health fair and hoped to go back on the radio to remind the community of this service. She also referred hesitant victims to non-profit organizations such as the Peace House and the Peoples Health Clinic, where they could also receive help with domestic violence issues.

Discuss Creekside Water Treatment Plant Building Site Relocation, Within Lot 2 of the City-Owned Property at Creekside Park Along Holiday Ranch Loop Road:

It was indicated this item would be discussed during the Regular Meeting.

Discuss Proposed Storm Water Utility and New Storm Water Fee Calculation

Methodology:

Jason Christensen and Clint McAfee, Water Department, presented this item. He stated staff's recommendation was to charge \$6 per Equivalent Surface Unit (ESU) for a Storm Water Fee.

Council Member Henney asked what part of the \$6 fee would attribute to being MS4 compliant. Christensen referred to the March 24th staff report, and stated \$2.50 per ESU would go to MS4 compliance. The remainder of the fee would go for operational costs. Council Member Henney recalled Council's direction was to charge the entire fee to the user, and asked if the City could only charge \$2.50, which was the MS4 portion of the fee. Council Member Matsumoto stated in previous conversations, it was decided that the operational part of the fee would be paid by the residents as well, so the City could use the Resort Sales Tax revenue for other areas.

Christensen stated the other \$3.50 would be used to increase the level of service, which would mean that there would be scheduled maintenance instead of reactionary maintenance. It would also provide for a vactor truck, have proactive cleanings and inspections, etc. He confirmed that the Council had decided that the Storm Water Fee would be self-contained and cover the capital expenses through its revenue, instead of depending on the Resort Sales Tax revenue. McAfee stated the MS4 was estimated to be higher than \$2.50 so the extra cost would blend with the cost requirements of the MS4 over time.

Council Member Beerman asked if parts of the \$6 fee were optional. McAfee stated the MS4 and maintenance would blend together and would be required under the MS4 regulations, but noted the fee itself was optional. Council Member Matsumoto asked how common storm water fees were in different cities. Christensen stated among MS4 cities, having a fee was very common. Council Member Worel asked if there was a problem with the infrastructure. McAfee stated there were deteriorating pipelines, non-existent pipelines, etc.

Mayor Thomas opened the meeting for public comment.

Todd Hauber, Park City School District Business Administrator, stated that as a School District, he would like to recommend that the Council look at State Code 13.6.7, where government entities were exempt from being required to pay this fee. He indicated the School District was a government entity and requested an exemption. He also noted on some of the school property, storm water drained into a creek and he hoped adjustments would be made for existing infrastructure.

McAfee stated the costs to the City were City-wide, and they had done their best to allocate the fee fairly to all. He explained they had calculated an average fee for residential units, and for commercial units a computation based off of aerial images was used to determine the fee. If a customer felt the fee was unjustified, they could come in and the property would be considered on an individual basis. He also noted they offered credits for units that had green infrastructure.

Lee Whiting, President of Claim Jumper Condominium Association, stated this per unit fee would increase the HOA budget by \$2,000 per year. He indicated the property was flat and had grass, trees and spongy soils. He hoped these factors would be taken into account.

Stan Jones, small business owner, cautioned the Council that this new utility would be a hardship on business owners, and noted that he might rip out his asphalt parking lot if the fee was too much of a burden.

Christensen stated the initial set up would charge one ESU per unit, but if that did not work for a specific situation such as multi-family housing, that property could be looked at for possible adjustments.

Mayor Thomas closed the public comment portion of the meeting.

Council Member Gerber asked if the fee could be scaled. McAfee stated the Master Plan listed a set of projects and the budget was based on maintaining those projects. Council Member Henney stated the appeal process should be implemented as soon as possible. He asked if the operating costs associated with the MS4 upgrade were wants or needs. McAfee stated replacing deteriorating pipes was a need. At an operations

standpoint, the City needed to maintain the infrastructure. The want was to provide the higher level of service by complying with the MS4 requirements. He stressed that the \$6 fee was a need. Council Member Matsumoto stated the fee was fair because there would be a community-wide benefit.

Council Member Beerman stated ultimately the utility should stand on its own without subsidies. He asked if there was a better way to stage all the fee increases with water, transit, storm water, etc., and suggested charging the \$2.50 to users now and then increasing the fee over the years until the entire cost was borne by the user. Council Member Worel stated a priority was having an affordable community, so she supported a graduated fee. Council Member Gerber agreed and felt it would be a good opportunity for people to begin finding ways to mitigate the costs and usage. Council Member Matsumoto felt this utility was important, and she wanted it to be equitable for all users. She was fine with phasing this fee over time, but felt having the City subsidize a portion of the fee would impact other projects that were being planned.

Nate Rockwood stated he would come back to Council to discuss this fee further next week. Council Member Henney indicated he could support a phased fee as long as the end result was having the user pay the fee. He asked if the Land Management Code (LMC) addressed a surface for parking lots. Bruce Erickson stated the parking lots had to be hard surface, but exemptions were allowed in some areas for porous surfaces. He noted most parking lots were noncompliant with the LMC.

REGULAR MEETING

I. ROLL CALL

II. Attendee Name	Title	Status
Jack Thomas	Mayor	Present
Andy Beerman	Council Member	Present
Becca Gerber	Council Member	Present
Tim Henney	Council Member	Present
Cindy Matsumoto	Council Member	Present
Nann Worel	Council Member	Present
Diane Foster	City Manager	Present
Tom Daley	Assistant City Attorney	Present
Matt Dias	Assistant City Manager	Present
Michelle Kellogg	City Recorder	Present

II. NEW BUSINESS

1. Deer Valley Resort End of Ski Season Recap 2015-2016:

Bob Wheaton stated he was pleased with the average snowfall year. He paid tribute to Stein Eriksen, noting his loss this past year. Wheaton reviewed the events held at Deer Valley, noting that worldwide ski events were held there. Some challenges the resort faced were weather related, with very high winds during President's week. As a result,

over a thousand trees were down. He indicated the staff made him proud from their commitment to deal with the challenges while maintaining the customer service component of their jobs. He extended his appreciation to the Transit Department for helping move the delayed skiers from different points on the mountain. He traveled the world and had seen many ski resorts and had never seen a relationship between a resort and a municipality like Deer Valley and Park City, and expressed his appreciation for the good relationship between the two entities.

Wheaton explained the Mayflower Project that would include 1,200 housing units and many ski runs. He felt this project would help with traffic because people could park on that side of the ridge and get on a lift from that side of the mountain. That being said, he knew that visitors would want to experience Park City Main Street and the rest of the City.

The summer plan for the resort included having a flow trail, which was a green oriented, beginner trail for mountain bikers. Mayor Thomas thanked Wheaton for his support with hosting Courchevel delegates this past February.

III. COMMUNICATIONS AND DISCLOSURES FROM COUNCIL AND STAFF

Municipal Code Online:

Foster explained that the municipal code, which had been on the website as a PDF, was now online and searchable, which helped staff and the public find desired topics more easily.

Discuss Creekside Water Treatment Plant Building Site Relocation, Within Lot 2 of the City-Owned Property at Creekside Park Along Holiday Ranch Loop Road (Continued from Work Session Agenda):

Jay Glazier and Michelle DeHaan, Water Department, and Alison Butz, Historic Park City Alliance, presented this item. Glazier reviewed that in December a site for a water treatment plant had been approved. Since then, the wetlands on the site had presented a problem for this building. So the site was reevaluated and he now recommended moving the site further back on the same lot, which would make the new building adjacent to the restroom area of the park. Glazier indicated this location would have the least impact on the site.

The Council agreed on the new location for the water treatment plant.

IV. PUBLIC INPUT (ANY MATTER OF CITY BUSINESS NOT SCHEDULED ON THE AGENDA)

No comments were given.

V. CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES

Consideration of a Request to Approve the City Council Meeting Minutes from April 14, 2016, and April 28, 2016:

Council Member Henney moved to approve the City Council Meeting minutes from April 14, 2016, and April 28, 2016. Council Member Gerber seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED

AYES: Council Members Beerman, Gerber, Henney, Matsumoto and Worel

VI. NEW BUSINESS (CONTINUED)

1. Consideration to Approve Resolution 11-2016, a Resolution to Celebrate “Historic Preservation Month” During the Month of May:

Anya Grahn, Planning, presented this item and requested that the Council support this resolution.

Council Member Henney moved to approve Resolution 11-2016, a resolution to celebrate “Historic Preservation Month” during the month of May. Council Member Worel seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED

AYES: Council Members Beerman, Gerber, Henney, Matsumoto and Worel

3. Consideration of the Acquisition of a Portion of 1251 Kearns Boulevard (The Yard), of Approximately 2.29 Acres in the Tentative Amount of \$6,000,000:

Jonathan Weidenhamer, Sustainability, Nate Rockwood, Capital Budget Manager, and Alfred Knotts, Transportation Planning, presented this item. Weidenhamer explained that Knotts had been studying the parking supply in the Bonanza Park area. This contract would allow a due diligence process through November 1, 2016. The City had the responsibility to pay a \$60,000 down payment until the sale is final. He stated that pursuing this opportunity would help meet the City's critical priorities with regard to transportation and housing.

Rockwood stated the monies for this purchase would be taken from the Transit Fund. If housing is constructed on the property, money from the Housing Fund would be used. Whatever the property was used for, the funds would be adjusted to reflect the percentage of use. Knotts stated it was recommended that this property have a mixed-use component.

Council Member Henney stated he had heard nothing but support with regard to the purchase for this purpose, and he was happy to see progress in achieving the City's critical priorities. Mayor Thomas stated in talking to others, they were excited for the intended residential component of this property purchase.

Mayor Thomas opened the meeting for public input.

Lee Whiting, President of the Claim Jumper Condominium Association, stated the condos had been good neighbors for many years. They were affordable housing units in a commercial district. He had objections to the speed in which the decision to purchase this parcel had been made because the public had not been informed of all the merits of this project. He was concerned about attracting future condo buyers if these condos were located next to transit, a large parking lot, trash, etc. He also expressed concern that the housing would be vertical, putting his condos in the shadow of such buildings. Another concern was a planned 1,000 car parking lot for this parcel.

Mark Fischer, explained that the agreement was to enter into a five-month due diligence period, so there was a lot of time to work on the details. He indicated this contract was nonbinding until November 1st.

Ronnie Wedig, spoke on behalf of Jesse Shetler, who is the owner of No Name Saloon. He read a statement that indicated there was a parking problem next to Shetler's businesses. He noted that parking spaces had disappeared over the years and he thanked the Council for providing more parking through this purchase.

Mayor Thomas closed the public input portion of the meeting.

Council Member Matsumoto addressed Whiting's concern by explaining the public process with this item, indicating the Council wanted this long process so that there would be ample time for public input. Council Member Beerman felt this was a strong step forward for these priorities. He knew this was important and supported this purchase. Council Member Gerber agreed. Council Member Worel stated she agreed with Council Members Henney and Beerman, and indicated she was excited to start the public input process. Mayor Thomas stated the process between now and November would be critical and the public would have many opportunities to participate.

Whiting asked how the stakeholders were identified, because his group wasn't notified. Knotts stated a small group of direct property owners that might be amenable for the purchase of those properties were contacted. He indicated four to five workshops had been held on the Bonanza Plan. Foster stated staff did contact Recycle Utah and a few other entities as well.

Mayor Thomas asked Knotts if that site would accommodate 1,000 parking spaces. Knotts stated 1,000 spaces would only be available if parking was the only objective for this property. With housing also being considered for the parcel, the planned parking spaces would be far less.

Council Member Henney moved to approve the acquisition of a portion of 1251 Kearns Boulevard (The Yard), for \$60 per square foot. Council Member Worel seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED

AYES: Council Members Beerman, Gerber, Henney, Matsumoto and Worel

4. Consideration of Ordinance 2016-20, an Ordinance Approving the 100 Daly Avenue Plat Amendment Located at 100 Daly Avenue, Park City, UT Pursuant to Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Conditions of Approval in a Form Approved by the City Attorney:

Ashley Scarff, Planning Department, reviewed the plat amendment application.

Mayor Thomas opened the meeting for a public hearing. No comments were given. Mayor Thomas closed the public hearing.

Council Member Gerber stated the pictures on Pages 140-141 of the Council packet showed a narrow street, and she felt a discussion on parking in that area might be appropriate for a future date.

Council Member Beerman moved to approve Ordinance 2016-20, an ordinance approving the 100 Daly Avenue Plat Amendment located at 100 Daly Avenue, Park City, Utah, pursuant to findings of fact, conclusions of law, and conditions of approval in a form approved by the City Attorney. Council Member Matsumoto seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED

AYES: Council Members Beerman, Gerber, Henney, Matsumoto and Worel

5. Consideration of a Request to Continue a Public Hearing on an Ordinance Approving an Extension of the Approval of the Ontario Mine Bench Subdivision, Located at 7700 Marsac Avenue, Park City, Utah:

Mayor Thomas opened the meeting for a public hearing. No comments were given. Mayor Thomas closed the public hearing.

Council Member Matsumoto moved to continue a public hearing on an ordinance approving an extension of the approval of the Ontario Mine Bench Subdivision, located at 7700 Marsac Avenue, Park City, Utah. Council Member Gerber seconded the motion.

RESULT: CONTINUED

Next: 6/9/2016 6:00 p.m.

AYES: Council Members Beerman, Gerber, Henney, Matsumoto and Worel

6. Consideration of a Request to Continue a Public Hearing on an Ordinance Approving an Extension of Approval of the Lot 1 Ontario Mine Bench

Condominium Record of Survey Plat, Located at 7700 Marsac Avenue, Park City, Utah:

Mayor Thomas opened the meeting for a public hearing. No comments were given. Mayor Thomas closed the public hearing.

Council Member Matsumoto moved to continue a public hearing on an ordinance approving an extension of approval of the Lot 1 Ontario Mine Bench Condominium Record of Survey Plat, located at 7700 Marsac Avenue, Park City, Utah. Council Member Henney seconded the motion.

RESULT: CONTINUED

Next: 6/9/2016 6:00 p.m.

AYES: Council Members Beerman, Gerber, Henney, Matsumoto and Worel

VII. Adjournment

With no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

Michelle Kellogg, City Recorder