PARK CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
445 MARSAC AVENUE
PARK CITY, SUMMIT COUNTY, UTAH 84060

December 21, 2017

The Council of Park City, Summit County, Utah, met in open meeting on December 21, 2017, at 2:30 p.m. in the City Council Chambers.

Council Member Henney moved to close the meeting to discuss property at 2:40 p.m. Council Member Gerber seconded the motion. Voting Aye: Council Members Gerber, Henney, and Matsumoto. Council Members Beerman and Worel were excused

CLOSED SESSION

Council Member Matsumoto moved to adjourn from Closed Meeting at 3:45 p.m. Council Member Gerber seconded the motion. Voting Aye: Council Members Gerber, Henney, and Matsumoto. Council Members Beerman and Worel were excused

WORK SESSION

Council Questions and Comments:
Council Member Matsumoto stated it was a privilege to serve on the Council, and she felt the City was in good hands.

Council Member Gerber attended and presented with Council Member Henney at the Council seat vacancy open forum and rode on the Homestake shuttle from the employee lot to the Transit Center.

Council Member Henney attended the Historic Park City Alliance (HPCA) meeting and noted Mayor Thomas presented on Treasure Hill and announced the Main Street Plaza Project was suspended so the funding could be used for Treasure Hill. Kenzie Coulson also spoke at this meeting on paid parking and received applause for her efforts. Jenny Diersen gave an update on the Sundance Supplemental Plan, which was also well received. He attended the Recycle Utah Board meeting, where the group's master plan and new location were discussed. He also attended a drug court graduation and indicated the work being done in the drug court was terrific. He suggested having that group present at a future City Council meeting.

Kenzie Coulson, Parking Manager, updated Council on the implementation of the paid parking program and indicated there had been a smooth transition.

Joint Discussion with Planning Commission Members-Sweeney Properties Master Plan: Creole Gulch and Town Lift Mid-Station Sites –– PL-08-00370:
Mark Harrington stated this presentation followed the discussion at the Planning Commission last night. He indicated primary and emergency road access and site suitability were two concerns at that meeting that needed to be overcome before proceeding and staff worked diligently to find alternatives. Staff was only looking for direction on what not to proceed with. Last night the public requested that staff explore alternate routes. He also reviewed that the City was at this point because the Council proposed that citizens vote on a bond that would reduce the density of this project.

Council Member Henney asked if this project would need a new application for this new alternative. Harrington stated the City approached this alternative as a settlement agreement where the density could be reduced and the project redesigned. He stated the tradeoff would be more public process. The new designs would be reviewed and there would be new conditional use permit (CUP) reviews for ski runs and other things. Some questions could not be answered today, but he felt this was an opportunity for the community to participate in the decision.

Craig Call, applicant's representative, displayed a map of the 125 acre property which showed the clusters zoned for density. In 1986, the zoning approved 396,000 square feet of density. The settlement would eliminate almost 300,000 square feet of that density. He displayed Option A, the newly proposed a 100,000 square foot hotel, a ski run, and a road system which could include a return road as part of the loop.

Option B would move the ski run and the return road could go behind the building. The building footprint would be 20,000 square feet. He stated this proposal was not efficient because only one side of the building had daylight. In this scenario the road that ended in a cul-de-sac actually could continue up the mountain.

Option C would locate the hotel up higher and it would be accessible to the slopes and would have a 50,000 square foot base.

Call remarked that the goal was to construct roads up to the locations deemed optimal for the hotel and ski slopes. He also noted the historic towers on the property could now stay with this settlement agreement.

Council Member Matsumoto stated the homes on Lowell Avenue ranged from 3,000-5,000 square feet, and she asked how large the homes in the proposal would be. Call stated the original residential development was 394,000 square feet, the City was proposing to buy half of that density, which would leave 197,000 square feet in Park City II ownership. The hotel would take 100,000 square feet and the remaining 97,000 square feet would be for single family dwellings. He thought as many as 18 homes would take up that density. Council Member Matsumoto asked if the home lots were shown in the Option C drawing. Call explained the locations of the lots on the map. He said the City had the final decision on the subdivision configurations, but noted Option C had the lower density housing closest to the adjoining neighborhoods.

Council Member Henney asked for a recap of what happened at the Planning Commission meeting last night, noting he heard progress was made at that meeting. Bruce Erickson, Planning Director, stated this same presentation was given last night. There were conversations about extending Lowell and Norfolk across the face of the mountain, as well as dialogue on where density should go and not go. Planning staff felt they got good feedback. He noted the master planned development (MPD) would need to be legislatively changed. Council Member Henney asked if the Planning Commission felt there was enough information to continue with the settlement proposal, to which Erickson responded in the affirmative. Council Member Henney asked what the Council could do to facilitate the process. Mark Harrington stated Council needed to hear the progress-to-date so they would feel comfortable moving forward with the settlement. He noted some concerns from the public that were expressed at the Planning Commission, including the raised expectation that the categories and gross volume would have definitions, a preference to explore Option C, perform an analysis on excavation and road cuts, questions on the capacity of Lowell and Empire, define construction access, phasing, a request to look at mass and bulk and look at how the land would be affected, give importance to the architecture - specifically the design and function, questions on bridges, wanted the uses to align with the original intent of the master plan, suggestion that the area in the southeast corner have a public amenity, questions on mine hazard and waste relating to water, explore options for secondary access including something lower and to the east, a lot of positive support for keeping the town lift as is, onsite employee affordable housing, and shuttle service. Harrington indicated an open house was being planned for January for more public input.

Mayor Thomas indicated he attended the Planning Commission meeting last night and he appreciated their efforts.

Mayor Thomas opened the meeting for public input.

<u>John Stafsholt</u> stated he was happy to have an alternative to the original plan, and asked why the hotel had to be outside the Limit of Disturbance (LOD) and in the ROS zone. If it was required to be in the ROS zone, that would require rezoning, which would require a new MPD approval. He didn't understand how it was possible to do that. He hoped to learn from the mistakes of the past so this would not go on for another 32 years. He also asked about the loop road. Laurent stated the purpose of the loop road was to access additional amenities.

Brian Van Heche with Thinc thanked everyone for their approach last night. He felt the buy down was good but it hinged on a bond. He thought a full buy down would have more support than a partial buy down. He also indicated Lowell Avenue was steep and could be dangerous in the winter. He requested that a meeting space in the development be removed since it would increase traffic. He encouraged bringing in an architect so the community could see exactly what the project would look like. He hoped there still might be a better alternative which would mitigate effects on Park City. Harrington stated a full buy down was explored but an agreement on that alternative was not reached.

George Garvin thought that the alternatives presented represented a tremendous step forward. It was important that the bond pass. He suggested determining the mass, volume and heights so the public would know what they would be voting on. He also said plans should be made in case the bond did not pass. He also thought that to be transparent, the City should disclose how the $30 million price was determined, and requested an appraisal of the property that could verify that price. He asked how the structure of the homes and hotel would integrate with the landscape. He knew the original plans were out of scale with the environment.

Niels Vernegaard, Treasurer of Thinc, felt the City was close to having a win-win with this issue. He preferred Option C. He knew this was on a fast track and he hoped the Planning Commission and Council had clarity on each of the CUPs and could relate that to the public.

Mayor Thomas closed the public input portion of the meeting.

Call thanked Council for their work on this agreement.

Council Member Gerber thanked Mayor Thomas, Mayor-Elect Beerman, and the Planning Commission for their work and felt this was great progress. She felt education with the community was critical. She thanked the public for coming to the meetings and participating in the process. She also indicated dealing with a project that was a quarter to a third of the original size was a good thing for the community.

Council Member Matsumoto liked Option C, and thought having the hotel up the hill and not as tall would mitigate the impact, especially if homes were between the hotel and Old Town. She knew people were concerned with the road cuts, but that would be minimized if the hotel was up the hill. She hoped the project would not be cut into the mountain, using Deer Crest as a negative example. She also thought there were possibilities with Option B. She thanked the Planning Commission for all their work. She felt having this small development would be an asset and was in favor of passing the bond.

Council Member Henney stated he heard there was confusion with the code. He knew this was a complex issue and it needed to be clearly explained to the public.

Steve Joyce, Planning Commissioner, stated the answers from staff and the developer would come back to the January 4th meeting and we needed to wait for those answers. Council Member Henney thanked the Planning Commission for all their work on this project.

Mayor Thomas stated this issue had been discussed for 10 years and it had evolved to the current agreement. He thanked all involved, as well as the public, for their concern and work to benefit the City

REGULAR MEETING

I. ROLL CALL

Attendee Name	Title	Status
Jack Thomas	Mayor	Present
Andy Beerman	Council Member	Excused
Becca Gerber	Council Member	Present
Tim Henney	Council Member	Present
Cindy Matsumoto	Council Member	Present
Nann Worel	Council Member	Excused
Diane Foster	City Manager	Present
Mark Harrington	City Attorney	Present
Matt Dias	Assistant City Manager	Present
Michelle Kellogg	City Recorder	Present

II. COMMUNICATIONS AND DISCLOSURES FROM COUNCIL AND STAFF

Council Member Henney stated the City needed a “Let it Snow” resolution. Council Member Henney moved to Let it Snow. Council Member Matsumoto seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED
AYES: Council Members Gerber, Henney, and Matsumoto
EXCUSED: Council Members Beerman and Worel

It subsequently snowed.

Matt Dias explained the parking validation process to the meeting attendees.

Staff Communications Reports:

- Western Summit County Project Master Agreement Update

III. PUBLIC INPUT *(ANY MATTER OF CITY BUSINESS NOT SCHEDULED ON THE AGENDA)*

Mayor Thomas opened the meeting for those wishing to address the Council on items not listed on the agenda.

Cheryl Fox, Executive Director of Summit Land Conservancy, thanked Mayor Thomas and the Council for their hard work, as well as staff, and said it was a great year for land conservation. She noted Mayor Thomas had four of his signatures on conservation easements and Council Member Matsumoto had been a liaison with the Land Conservancy for many years.

Bill Rock, Park City Mountain Resort (PCMR), thanked Mayor Thomas and the Council for working so well with him over the years. He gave a PCMR commemorative coin to Mayor Thomas and Council Member Matsumoto, and stated the community was ready to host guests during this ski season.

IV. CONSENT AGENDA

1. Request to Approve the Following Special Event Temporary Alcoholic Beverage License Applications for Operation During the 2018 Sundance Film Festival:

2. Consideration to Authorize the City Manager to Enter into a Licensing, Support, and Hosting Services Agreement with Automatic Data Processing (ADP), in an Amount Up to $8,995.70 Per Month, or Up to $107,948.40 Annually, to Purchase a Personnel Management Software Solution, in a Form Approved by the City Attorney:

Council Member Gerber moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Council Member Matsumoto seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED
AYES: Council Members Gerber, Henney, and Matsumoto
EXCUSED: Council Members Beerman and Worel

V. NEW BUSINESS

1. Consideration to Elevate Social Equity from a Top Priority to a Critical Priority:

Linda Jager, Jed Briggs and Lynn Ware Peek presented this item. Briggs stated Council and staff had been discussing Social Equity and they were seeking public feedback on this issue. Jager indicated the City launched "Engage Park City" on its website, which allowed residents to provide feedback on issues facing the Council. She encouraged residents to provide feedback on Social Equity. Ware Peek stated they presented at the November 30th Council meeting as well, but she didn't think the entire community was giving input. This feedback tool on the website would reach a broader audience, and the data would be provided at the Council retreat in February.

Council Member Henney liked that the tool jumped out on the website.

Mayor Thomas opened the meeting for public input.

Tom Horton stated it was important to be careful with the language used in promoting Social Equity to a critical priority. He supported Social Equity and thought it was an outcome, not an activity or task. He noted many factors such as affordable housing and transportation that already impacted Social Equity, but asserted they were some causes rather than outcomes. He suggested prioritizing major components of Social Equity and not Social Equity as a whole; maybe setting a goal for a living wage, behavioral health, and/or judicial processes. These goals would not be easy, but you know what was required and you could measure the success. He didn't suggest putting Social Equity aside, but just prioritizing it in a different way. He thought Social Equity should be placed at the top of the community visioning process that would take place in 2020.

Mayor Thomas closed the public input portion of the meeting.

Council Member Matsumoto thought there was something to be said for the comment just received. The City was focusing on many of these components and she felt the issue should be discussed further at the retreat.

Council Member Gerber thought it was an interesting public comment. She noted the Council had been visionary in the past year and set goals that did not have clear paths. She felt figuring out the net zero goal was inspiring and thought Social Equity would force the City to think outside the box for solutions. She thanked the community for its feedback.

Briggs indicated Social Equity could be discussed at a Coffee with Council and/or a special meeting to discuss it further. Council Member Gerber was excited to see the results of the tool on the website.

Council Member Henney thought Horton's feedback was focused and well thought out. This was the reason Council wanted to bring this issue to the citizens. He knew there was a lot of work to do and he looked forward to continuing this conversation.

2. Consideration to Approve the Following Type 2 Convention Sales License (CSL) Applications for Operation During the 2018 Sundance Film Festival and

Consideration to Hold a Special Meeting Between January 16 and 18, 2018 for Approval of Any Late Type 2 CSL Applications:

Beth Bynan, Business License Specialist, stated the applicants had submitted qualified applications, and she requested a special meeting in January to approve late applicants.

Council Member Matsumoto stated the extra money was a life line for businesses and she supported having a special meeting for late applicants.

Mayor Thomas opened the meeting for public input. No comments were given. Mayor Thomas closed the public input portion of the meeting.

Bynan asked that a special meeting be held on January 16th at 9:00 a.m. Council Member Henney asked if Bynan supported the special meeting. Bynan stated it was easy to take the license fee, but inspectors were burdened with these late inspections. She indicated reminder calls were made to regular applicants, but some were late anyway.

The Council agreed to hold a special meeting on January 16th at 9:00 a.m.

Council Member Matsumoto moved to approve the Type 2 Convention Sales License (CSL) applications for operation during the 2018 Sundance Film Festival and to hold a special meeting on January 16, 2018 for approval of any late Type 2 CSL applications. Council Member Gerber seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED
AYES: Council Members Gerber, Henney, and Matsumoto
EXCUSED: Council Members Beerman and Worel

3. Consideration to Approve the Lyft Operations Plan for the 2018 Sundance Film Festival:

Jenny Diersen, Jonathan Weidenhamer, and Alfred Knotts presented this item. Diersen reviewed the transportation plan and said she would focus on Lyft operations. She reviewed the outreach efforts in educating the community businesses on the transportation plan, and indicated meetings had been held with the taxi group and the Police Department.

Jeremy Neigher, Lyft Marketing Manager, stated they were new to the festival but hoped it would be a continuing partnership. The driver training would include communications and rules of the road, and driver love which was rest, food and restrooms for the Lyft drivers. He knew adjustments would be needed, but the plan would allow them to do so. Diersen added there was a 24/7 contact during the festival for Lyft.

Knotts stated he felt this was a good plan that took into account reduced miles and safety. He explained staff would be monitoring the enforcement of any problems in the event. Robert with Sundance stated they wanted to make this relationship work. Diersen indicated that Lyft agreed to help the City gather data that would help staff plan for future events and festivals in the City.

Council Member Gerber asked how signage would work at the staging area. Diersen stated Planning and Building would approve the design of the control area, but the goal was to prevent left hand turns. It was indicated more parking spaces were added and the businesses were satisfied with the new design. Diersen noted that Lyft ambassadors would be in the lot to manage traffic. Council Member Matsumoto stated there was feedback that drivers didn't know Park City and she hoped they would be knowledgeable of the area, courteous, and that they wouldn't cut through neighborhoods. Neighers stated training would focus on safety and they would over-communicate with the drivers on topics such as how to operate in snow, where to drive and not to drive, etc. Council Member Matsumoto asked if there were requirements for snow tires, all-wheel drive, etc. Neighers indicated the state allowed Lyft to require those features.

Mayor Thomas opened the public hearing.

Sam Rubin thought his concerns last year had been addressed, but he felt single occupancy vehicles in old town was still a concern. He thought it would be more organized if there was a queuing area where there was order in the process. If this was not the process, these cars would be circling Main Street and Swede Alley in order to get as close as possible to the ride.

Mayor Thomas closed the public hearing.

Council Member Matsumoto moved to approve the Lyft Operations Plan for the 2018 Sundance Film Festival. Council Member Gerber seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED
AYES: Council Members Gerber, Henney, and Matsumoto
EXCUSED: Council Members Beerman and Worel

4. Consideration to Authorize the City Manager to Enter into a Contract, in a Form Approved by the City Attorney's Office, with Lake|Flato Architects for the Arts & Culture District Mixed-Use Development Project Architecture and Engineering Design Services Contract Award of Task 1 Services through Schematic Design in an Amount Not to Exceed $907,000.00, Including Reimbursable Expenses:

Anne Laurent and Nate Rockwood presented this item. Laurent indicated this was a second contract that was for developing a design for the Arts and Culture district. The first step was a contract for consulting services and this contract was for design services. There were 15 proposals and five groups were interviewed. Lake/Flato had a broad approach to how they design and they were chosen for the project. Over the next year, conceptual designs would be put together. Rockwood stated the quality of the firms that submitted proposals was exceptional. He looked forward to working with the chosen architect.

Mayor Thomas opened the meeting for public input. No comments were given. Mayor Thomas closed the public input portion of the meeting.

Council Member Gerber moved to authorize the City Manager to enter into a contract, in a form approved by the City Attorney's Office, with Lake|Flato Architects for the Arts & Culture District Mixed-Use Development Project Architecture and Engineering Design Services Contract Award of task 1 services through schematic design in an amount not to exceed $907,000.00, including reimbursable expenses. Council Member Matsumoto seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED
AYES: Council Members Gerber, Henney, and Matsumoto
EXCUSED: Council Members Beerman and Worel

5. Consideration to Rename the Historic Preservation Award:
Council Member Henney moved to combine Agenda Items Five and Six into one item. Council Member Gerber seconded the motion.

RESULT: APPROVED
AYES: Council Members Gerber, Henney, and Matsumoto
EXCUSED: Council Members Beerman and Worel

Harrington indicated there were a couple of presentations tonight to honor the outgoing Mayor and Council Member, Jack Thomas and Cindy Matsumoto. He asked the family members of Mayor Thomas and Council Member Matsumoto to stand so the Council and audience could show appreciation for them. Harrington indicated he had been asked what the measure of success was for elected officials, and stated the foundation was a willingness to serve, devotion to family, and the fortitude to make a difference when they could be doing something else, to improve the quality of life for their families and those in the community.

A video was shown that focused on the work of the Council during 2017.

Tom Daley, Heinrich Deters, Matt Dias, and Wendy Fischer presented Mayor Thomas with a framed picture of Bonanza Flat. Fischer stated Council Member Matsumoto had a “yes we can” attitude through the Bonanza Flat process and Mayor Thomas was supportive and humble throughout the process.

Anya Grahn honored Council Member Matsumoto for her support and work as liaison for the Historic Preservation Board. She stated the annual Historic Preservation Award would now be named after Cindy Matsumoto.

Harrington stated Mayor Thomas and Council Member Matsumoto were instrumental in the Arts and Culture district initiative.

Betsy Wallace, Sundance Executive Director, stated it was a long and wonderful process to work with Council Member Matsumoto and Mayor Thomas. She thanked Council Member Matsumoto for being thoughtful, wise and funny and it made Sundance better. Wallace said Mayor Thomas had been involved in Sundance for a long time, and had been active as the Mayor for four seasons. She presented them with tokens of appreciation.

Chief Carpenter thanked both Mayor Thomas and Council Member Matsumoto for their support of the Police Department and gave them a gift.

Diane Foster stated Council worked with staff as a team and treated staff as part of their team. She noted Council Member Matsumoto wanted all the open space and gave her a tote that said “I want it all.” Foster indicated when many projects seemed to not come to fruition, Mayor Thomas would pull a rabbit out of a hat and the project flourished, and so she gave him a magic hat with a rabbit in it.

Council Member Henney called Council Member Matsumoto’s family up and presented them with a plaque. He then called up Mayor Thomas’ wife and gave her a plaque.

Mayor Thomas opened the meeting for public input.

Hans Fuegi stated he and friends thanked Mayor Thomas for his hard work, dedication, and friendship.

Rory Murphy agreed and thanked Mayor Thomas as well.

Mayor Thomas’ youngest daughter Martina stated she saw character growth and development in her father. She was excited for the amount of work he put into preserving Bonanza Flat, his efforts on social equity, and was thankful for all he did.

Catherine Matsumoto stated it was special to have her mom serve on the City Council, being strong, and saying what needed to be said. And she did it all while taking care of her family and running her business.

Bob Wheaton thanked Council Member Matsumoto for her service on the Council and indicated it was a pleasure and honor to watch. He thanked Mayor Thomas for the last four years of quiet and confident leadership. Tough decisions needed to be made and he made them. He also thanked the staff and said he was proud to call Park City home. He thanked them for their service which was a gift they gave the community.

Council Member Gerber thanked Council Member Matsumoto and Mayor Thomas. She appreciated Council Member Matsumoto's wisdom and wit to conversations. She learned a lot from Mayor Thomas. It was special serving with them because of the humanity they brought to their capacity as elected officials. They had been outstanding to work with.

Brad Olch stated this particular Mayor and Council accomplished so much in such a short amount of time that will have a lasting effect on the community. He thanked Mayor Thomas and Council Member Matsumoto for the amazing job they did for the community.

Wheaton stated as a demonstration of the hard work of the Council, Deer Valley had the opportunity to protect the Head Frame site and they were going for it.

Mayor Thomas closed the public input portion of the meeting.

Council Member Matsumoto stated when she ran for office the first time, she wanted to be on Council to keep Park City a great place so her grandchildren could run up and down the streets. She was happy that her family was here and that Park City is a great place.

Mayor Thomas thanked everyone for their support, and stated this Council and staff would always be his friends. He realized his legacy is his family. He thanked all in attendance for their friendship. Mayor Thomas then unwrapped a picture on wall of the Complete Community diagram.

6. Appreciation for the Leadership and Service of Outgoing Elected Officials:
See Item Five above.

VI. ADJOURNMENT
With no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

____
Michelle Kellogg, City Recorder

City Council Staff Report

Subject: Renaming the Historic Preservation Award
Author: Anya Grahn, Historic Preservation Planner
Department: Planning Department
Date: December 21, 2017
Type of Item: Administrative

Summary Recommendation

Staff recommends that City Council rename the Historic Preservation Award, chosen and presented each year by the Historic Preservation Board (HPB), in honor of Councilwoman Cindy Matsumoto's dedication to Park City's historic preservation movement. Staff will return in 2018 with a resolution to officially rename the award.

Executive Summary

Staff recommends that City Council rename the annual Historic Preservation Award in honor of Councilwoman Cindy Matsumoto's dedication to Park City's historic preservation movement. She has been instrumental in the fruition of numerous preservation projects, including the structural stabilization of the city-owned McPolin Farm, renovation of the Park City Library and its listing on the National Register of Historic Places, as well as recent revisions to the Historic Preservation Award. The award program was introduced in 2011, during Councilwoman Matsumoto's terms of service. Staff will return in 2018 with a resolution to officially rename the grant program.

Acronyms

HPB	Historic Preservation Board
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding

The Opportunity

With Councilwoman Matsumoto's term coming to end at the end of this year, the City has the opportunity to honor her years of service and dedication to preservation by naming the Historic Preservation Award in her honor.

Background

Cindy Matsumoto has strived to carry on Park City's legacy of historic preservation during her two (2) terms on the Park City Council. She has been instrumental in the fruition of numerous preservation projects, including the structural stabilization of the city-owned McPolin Farm as well as the renovation of the Park City Library and its listing on the National Register of Historic Places. Ms. Matsumoto has also served as liaison to the Historic Preservation Board (HPB), overseeing recent revisions to the 2009 *Design Guidelines for Historic Districts and Historic Sites* and nominating a number of new historic sites to the City's Historic Sites Inventory. During her time on the City Council, the City and Vail Resorts also entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), committing to a 15-year partnership to finance the stabilization of mine sites on resort property. Most recently, she has contributed to revising the Historic District Grant program to incentivize private investment in historic preservation projects. In addition to her work on City Council, Ms. Matsumoto has also served on the Park City Museum and Historical Society's Board of Trustees.

The HPB launched the annual Historic Preservation Award in 2011. The awards program honors projects utilizing the *Design Guidelines for Historic Districts and Historic Sites,* adopted in 2009, and the focus of the award may change from year to year. The Board has agreed that the HPB Preservation Award should not compete with any of the Historical Society's awards, but complement the existing joint preservation efforts already taking place and highlight the *Design Guidelines for Historic Districts and Historic Sites* by which all development in the Historic Districts must comply.

These art pieces are showcased in City Hall, on the main and second levels. Owners of the award receive a bronze plaque that can be displayed on the exterior of their building. As staff works to better interpret the City's collection of Historic Preservation Award art pieces, staff will work to memorialize the name of the preservation award.

Alternatives for City Council to Consider

1. **Recommended Alternative:** Staff recommends that City Council rename the Historic Preservation Award in honor of City Councilwoman City Matsumoto's service to the community over her two (2) terms.

   <u>Pros</u>. Councilwoman Matsumoto has been an advocate for historic preservation and promoted historic preservation as a top priority and community value.

   <u>Cons.</u> This proposal recognizes a political advocate for historic preservation in Park City. While there are no direct negative impacts, Council may wish to direct staff to consider potential policy options for recognizing community contributions beyond our existing naming policy.

2. **Null Alternative:** Should Council not pursue this staff recommendation or other recognition for Cindy Matsumoto, collectively we miss an opportunity to honor Cindy Matsumoto as well as recognize the importance of historic preservation in the modern day evolution of Park City.

3. **Other Alternatives:** Council may direct staff to return with other options to honor Cindy Matsumoto. Staff would request input from Council on alternative approaches and return at a future date.

Department Review

This report has been reviewed by the Planning, Legal, and Executive Departments.