PARK CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION NOTES
SUMMIT COUNTY, UTAH
JUNE 14, 2007

Present: **Mayor Dana Williams; Council members Marianne Cone; Candace Erickson; Roger Harlan; Jim Hier; and Joe Kernan**

Tom Bakaly, City Manager; Mark Harrington, City Attorney; Pace Erickson, Streets Supervisor; Troy Dayley Streets Manager; Gary Hill, Budget Manager; and Dani Lo Feudo, Human Resources Analyst

Roger Strand, White Pine Court; and Alex Butwinski, Ashley Court

1. Council questions/comments. Mayor Dana Williams reported on mosquito abatement business; it is anticipated that this season will be more active than in the past. He was a member of a Park City delegation attending the Sonoran Institute. Six other communities were selected to brain-storm sustainability issues and solutions. He was surprised to learn that buildings produce higher green house emissions than cars and industry and promoting green building design efficiencies as a City was a key discussion point. There are independent raters who evaluate the efficiency of properties and some local boards of realtors publish ratings in the multiple listing system. Marianne Cone added that this is an easy sell because of potential cost savings in the long term and she felt that the City has made a lot of progress in this area. Mayor Williams explained that Park City was chosen to participate because we have a sustainability plan in place. Ms. Cone commented on the Swaner Preserve event and Public Art Advisory Board business. Jim Hier spoke about meetings he attended during the week and Candace Erickson discussed Habitat for Humanities' progress in building a home in Kamas for a family. Joe Kernan highlighted the Recycle Utah conference; he shared a suggestion from someone interested in operating a compost center as a business in the community.

Mr. Kernan pointed out that residents rarely attend budget hearings and the importance of listening to the public's requests. Some citizens have requested more frequent snow removal services over the years but have stopped asking out of frustration. Staff will defend the City Manager's Recommended Budget on snow removal, but he encouraged the Council to look beyond past policy and consider improving snow removal services. Council member Kernan stated that he looks forward to discussing the standards and will be asking questions to highlight data used to deny a service level increase. For comparison purposes, the Golf Fund reflects the largest increase in staffing.

Joe Kernan noted that he attended a NOMA meeting. Dana Williams discussed the need for more space to adequately address the operation of the Peace House and relayed that IHC is considering providing a facility at the medical campus.

2. Regular meeting questions/comments. None.

3. Snow removal priority service. Pace Erickson explained that Council directed staff to present snow removal service levels and performance standards a couple of weeks ago after testimony from Alex Butwinski. The priority plan has been in place for many years, and adjusted from time to time. The snow removal plan manages resources required to efficiently move snow and the City budgets for average snow years. When there is an above average snow year, additional resources are provided for with a mid-year budget amendment. Remaining funding from below average years, is returned to the General Fund, and then typically distributed to the CIP Fund. The focus of the priority system is keeping commerce moving by ensuring that businesses, resorts, and schools are accommodated. He explained that the timing of a storm is critical in the City's effectiveness in moving snow. Staff discussed this snow removal program with Council in November.

Mr. Erickson stated that the Code provides that the City will remove snow on public streets to a width of 20 feet within eight hours after a storm bringing four inches or more of snow. He emphasized that staff uses another methodology to measure performance internally, while meeting the ordinance standards. The enhanced departmental objective is removing snow gutter to gutter within 16 hours after a storm which is a challenge. This provides for a wider roadway for vehicular traffic, reduces congestion, achieves more efficient and convenient on-street parking, improves pedestrian flow, and creates snow storage needed for subsequent storms. The plan is flexible and applicable to the fluctuations in weather in different areas of town.

Pace Erickson explained that Alex Butwinski addressed Council a couple of weeks ago about increasing the level of service on cul-de-sacs and there seems to be some confusion about sustained snow events. The ordinance states snow will be cleared 20 feet within eight hours after a storm, but doesn't address the timing of the storm. He emphasized that staff attempts to make at least one pass on every street in a 24 hour period. They are not abandoning people. It may be that the street was plowed during the night while it was snowing, but at no time would staff jeopardize public health and safety. He felt snow removal concerns are based more on convenience. Staff contacted the Fire District to obtain an assessment of Park City's snow removal performance and the District relayed that it has no issues with snow removal within the City, which is not the case in neighboring communities. Staff is recommending maintaining the current snow removal standard as currently outlined in the Municipal Code.

Jim Hier asked if staff could monitor four inches in eight hours versus the full street in 16 hours so compliance with the ordinance is clearer. Mr. Erickson stated that the department is acquiring new software which will assist in more accurately tracking performance. Currently, this data is collected manually and the new software may be capable of tracking both approaches. The gutter to gutter objective is a higher standard set by the department, but staff is still meeting the 20 foot eight hour provisions. Mr. Hier asked if there is a hotline and Troy Dayley explained that there is a number listed in the snow removal brochure. Pace Erickson added that citizens can also call dispatch; drivers are in constant contact with police dispatch if there is an emergency. Last year, a quick response position was initiated so that issues and emergencies could be addressed sooner. Mr. Dayley clarified that the hotline number is answered during regular business hours so a complaint filed late on Friday may not be responded to until Monday; however, dispatch is always available. Marianne Cone relayed an experience she had where the response time was not more than ten minutes. She asked how to budget for fluctuations in the weather each year, and Pace Erickson explained the contingency within the budget that is utilized when more funding is needed to support snow removal services.

Candace Erickson pointed out the length of Ashley Court; it doesn't resemble other cul-de-sacs. It looks like a street with a cul-de-sac at the end of it to her and she asked the definition of a *cul-de-sac*. Jim Hier responded that a cul-de-sac is a street that has only one entrance and exit and the Code specifies that a cul-de-sac cannot be longer than 600 feet without the Chief Building Official's approval. Pace Erickson interjected that the priority system is based on traffic flow and counts. Joe Kernan stated that there are many third priority streets (green on map) within the system; in fact, probably half of the streets north of City Park are green. He asked about defining the end of a storm and distinguishing it from being part of a series of snow events. Mr. Dayley explained it cannot be defined until after the storm ends. Mr. Kernan felt it would be helpful to survey citizens about satisfaction of service. Roger Harlan asked if staff needs more resources and commented on the challenges of recruiting qualified snow removal equipment operators. Pace Erickson indicated that increased pay and differential pay for shift work may be incentives for attracting drivers but these options they have not been fully evaluated. The pool of drivers has diminished over the years because construction is now a year-round industry. The City responds to unusually heavy or extended snow events by adding people from other Public Works crews and/or assigning supervisors to drive equipment, if necessary. Gary Hill emphasized that finding temporary staff has been a struggle and an employee task force looked at ways to retain seasonal and temporary employees. One new approach to be implemented and evaluated this year is shift differential pay.

Marianne Cone referred to an email from a resident on Ashley Court alleging that her street goes unplowed for days. Pace Erickson explained that there are times when a storm hits so hard, it takes a while to catch up. Ms. Cone felt that is normal but according to the Ashley Court residents, understood that this is an on-going occurrence. She fears that residents are reluctant to call and be considered complainers, and stressed that people should feel comfortable calling the City.

Roger Strand thanked Joe Kernan for advising him about attending this meeting. In difference to what Mr. Erickson said, there were four days last year where there was two feet of snow on White Pine Court. The berm blocked driveways. He referred to the standards in the ordinance and asked what remedy citizens have when the ordinance is not met by the City and described in detail, a better method to plow his cul-de-sac. He questioned the results of the citizen satisfaction survey and wondered if priority three residents were included. Mayor Williams pointed out that Priorities 1 and 2 are generally not residential streets, but major streets needed to be cleared so the City functions. Mr. Strand urged Council to consider funding Priority 3 areas at a higher level for enhanced service. Don't leave snow berms in front of driveways, especially at the homes of the elderly. Other than that, Mr. Strand claimed that the snow crews do a great job and they do respond.

Alex Butwinski referred to his email to the Mayor and Council members and encouraged committing more money for snow removal. He interpreted and criticized the City Manager's comments on KPCW as being unwilling to look at any operational changes. Although more dissatisfied people aren't in attendance today at the work session, Mr. Butwinski believes there are other problem areas in town. He questioned the staff report reporting 100% compliance curb to curb in 16 hours. The citizen survey has no context and the good to excellent response dropped from 83% to 73%. He stressed that the City is not measuring efforts with the same standard in the ordinance and again suggested budgeting more for snow removal. A standard should be reasonable, measurable, achievable and timely, but the standard in the ordinance does not meet this criteria and staff gets to determine the end of a storm. Every street should have at least one pass a day and he seriously doubted that residents would not be aware of plowing occurring in the middle of the night. The berms are a safety hazard.

Paul Boyer, Ashley Court, referred to his wife's concerns outlined in an email to Council members. She operates a home business and the street is inaccessible, it costs her money. American Saddler is a Priority 2, which is residential. Plowing the cul-de-sac takes only two minutes and he doesn't understand why the plow on American Saddler can't swing by. It may be that meeting the curb to curb standard delays service to Priority 3 areas. He questioned the statistics in the staff report and Ashley Court needs help because it is a safety and business issue.

Joe Kernan again spoke about increases to the Golf Fund. Gary Hill advised that there are five new positions which are funded by golf users not General Fund transfers. Mr. Kernan pointed out that users of the golf course rated it high on the citizen satisfaction survey. Yet improvements are contemplated on the course while more attention should be focused on snow removal where ratings dropped. There was discussion about interpreting survey percentages outlined in the staff report. Candace Erickson emphasized that Mr. Kernan selected the wrong example to illustrate his point because the Golf Course Fund is an enterprise fund.

Dana Williams stated that the snow removal budget is adjusted during the year when needed and throwing more money into the budget isn't necessarily a solution. Jim Hier didn't recall ever reviewing snow removal performance to standards; there should be compliance with the ordinance. He also liked Joe Kernan's suggestion of defining the end of a storm, recognizing the challenge. Pace Erickson explained that the decision is made jointly by the crew leaders who are more demanding on themselves than anyone else. Jim Hier added that when he moved here, he expected snow on the streets and times when he couldn't get out of his driveway and has been impressed with the City's snow removal service. However, it is important that the end of the storm is defined so the standards in the ordinance can be measured. It's difficult to determine whether the level of service has dropped or that expectations are higher. Mr. Hier suggested a contingency in the snow removal budget in the event, additional funds are needed for same level or enhanced service if that is the consensus of Council. Mayor Williams understood that the new software will be available shortly and will be a helpful measuring tool. Mr. Hier suggested publishing the hotline number.

Candace Erickson commended Joe Kernan for bringing up snow removal at the Visioning Session in January because he heard complaints. Like Mr. Hier, she expects snow in Park City but admits expectations have changed over 20 years and perhaps adjustments are appropriate. The standards in the ordinance should be met and the budget should be adequately funded. She supports promoting employee incentives and Roger Harlan announced that his number is published for the public to reach him with concerns about snow removal. He emphasized that it is not Council's role is to improve snow removal services to better accommodate home occupied businesses, however, public safety and emergencies need to be addressed. The Mayor stated that the expectation of the City removing berms in driveways after plowing is unrealistic. There is no way to clear snow from cul-de-sacs in an efficient manner. Ashley Court is unique and he is supportive of considering operational changes, if appropriate. There was discussion about the validity of statements that snow is not plowed for days but there was no clarification from the public whether that actually meant 48 hours or longer.

For the benefit of Alex Butwinski, Jim Hier explained that the City Manager's comment on the radio dealt with meeting service levels, it was not intended to appear close-minded to new ideas. Joe Kernan stated that tracking performance is important and will make a motion later to do what Alex Butwinski is asking for. He has a problem with not increasing the budget for snow removal; last year was a light snow season but there are still complaints in neighborhoods. He again referred to the recreation survey statistics and asked for information on General Fund support of the golf course. He cited good and very good satisfaction ratings for departments that are still receiving more funds;

and pointed out the expensive facility being built for the police. Snow removal is a basic service and Mr. Kernan reiterated his intention to make a motion. Mayor Williams expressed some confusion over the higher service standard and felt it important to comply with stated criteria in the ordinance. Joe Kernan suggested budgeting more for snow removal and in the meantime, developing a more efficient snow removal plan to meet the public's expectation. The City Manager commented that staff may need clearer direction on the added $50,000. Is it allocated for an enhanced service level or intended to meet current established standards. He explained that direction to staff does not require a motion. Members concurred that meeting standards is most important and developing a mechanism to effectively measure performance is needed. Tom Bakaly pointed out direction as somewhat confusing because Public Works is not recommending more funding to meet performance standards.

Alex Butwinski explained that he and his neighbors on Ashley Court do not believe crews are meeting the standard, but the standard is vague and needs to be clarified and the end of the storm needs to be defined. Every street should be swept once every 24 hours, acknowledging that there are snow events that may delay this service. He felt there is enough room in interpreting the standard to report a higher compliance and a service level that is not accurate. Mr. Hier explained that additional funding is intended to achieve the standard already defined.

4. Budget review. Gary Hill explained that staff is recommending a modification to the purchasing policy to clarify purchasing through the state's contract system. The state conducts an RFP process for a variety of supplies, equipment, etc., eliminating the requirement for cities to conduct a duplicate process if the purchase is accomplished with a state contract. Jim Hier suggested a dollar limit of $100,000 per acquisition and Mr. Hill explained that the City is able to cap the amount because municipalities can be more restrictive than the state guidelines. Purchasing requirements specified in the budget policies must also be followed and state guidelines do not trump the City's rules.

Dani Lo Feudo explained the recommended modifications to the personnel policies and procedures manual, which is updated every year. She explained the composition of the task force committee, and detailed modifications and new policies. Jim Hier suggested that the cell phone personnel policy be incorporated in administrative policies so provisions are consistent. Ms. Lo Feudo explained the change in sick leave policy, specifically addressing employees caring for ill or aging family members and categorizing dispatchers as first response emergency personnel. This will qualify them for a larger housing allowance of $250 per month if living within the School District boundaries. Minor grammatical changes were suggested by members.

Mr. Hill discussed other recommended changes, including purchasing a van for the recreation department, reclassifying positions in the sustainability team, increasing the self-insurance fund, and funding for the Marsac seismic upgrade, the Town Plaza and recycling bins. Candace Erickson stated that she supports the purchase of a van but would like to see supporting information on offsetting costs with associated program enrollment numbers. Discussion ensued on using the van in the winter and potential use by non-profits.

Jim Hier voiced his reluctance in funding the 4,700 square foot shell space at $2.7 million without any detail on the project. The elements of the project need to be refined or the estimate reduced in order for him to support the budget. Mr. Hill acknowledged an earlier estimate of $1.9 million which can be earmarked in the CIP. Mr. Hier felt it inappropriate to designate $2.7 million in the budget for a project lacking detail. Tom Bakaly suggested that the $1.9 million be earmarked and if additional funds are needed, to assign them accordingly which was agreeable to Council member Hier. See regular meeting minutes.

Prepared by Janet M. Scott, City Recorder

PARK CITY COUNCIL MEETING
SUMMIT COUNTY, UTAH
JUNE 14, 2007

I ROLL CALL

Mayor Dana Williams called the regular meeting of the City Council to order at approximately 6:15 p.m. at the Marsac Municipal Building on Thursday, June 14, 2007. Members in attendance were Dana Williams, Marianne Cone, Candace Erickson, Roger Harlan, Jim Hier, and Joe Kernan. Staff present was Tom Bakaly, City Manager; Mark Harrington, City Attorney; Jon Weidenhamer, Project Manager; Katie Cattan, Planner; Sharon Mayes-Atkinson, Planner; and Gary Hill, Budget Manager.

II COMMUNICATIONS AND DISCLOSURES FROM COUNCIL AND STAFF

1. Election voting machines - Joe Kernan understood that the municipal election will utilize electronic voting machines and asked if a more verifiable method can be considered by cities. Mark Harrington explained that staff was prepared to recommend using the optical scan machines, but because of the school voucher issue, the Lieutenant Governor mandated that counties conduct the General Election with the DRE machines. Cities do not have a choice. If there is a Primary, and even if there was other equipment available which there isn't, it is not recommended to use two different systems. Mr. Kernan asked if the City could rent optical scan machines and that may be used in future elections. It was again pointed out that there would be two different systems for the Primary and the General Election. The Election Officer will update members at an upcoming work session.

2. Resolution celebrating and proclaiming the week of June 17, 2007 as Park Silly Sunday Market Week in Park City, Utah – The Mayor read the proclamation into the record. Signed resolutions were presented to Kimberly Kuen, Jewels Harrison, and Julie-Doerr Arenson and the audience was encouraged to attend the Market.

III PUBLIC INPUT *(any matter of City business not scheduled on agenda)*

1. Little Kate sidewalk project - Stacey Demolski, Park Meadows resident, disagreed with the study's conclusion that bike and pedestrian counts do not indicate that grade separation is necessary. As a resident, she witnesses many near-misses. The recommendation is arrogant and if the Council wants community buy-in, residents should get what they ask for. She questioned the study's conclusion that Little Kate is well within the traffic volume for residential streets. She hoped members would not make decisions based on unsubstantiated data in the study. She took issue with the no accident history rate and asked if someone needs to get hurt before anything is done. Ms. Demolski asked for assurance about a permanent solution of building a separated path and providing a completion date to residents. The proposed design of the sidewalk to Monitor will route kids to a busier street and they are likely not to use it. It is not a good solution to get to school.

In response to a question from her, the City Manager explained that a special service district is a mechanism to fund improvements through an assessment levied on benefited property owners. Ms. Demolski acknowledged potential opposition from residents losing property to accommodate a sidewalk all the way to the schools, but that is the project most people want.

Larry Horyna, 2009 Lucky John Drive, spoke about canvassing residents on the street and found no support of building a sidewalk all the way to Lucky John Drive. There is already a separated sidewalk from the LDS Church to the schools. He felt the staff arrived at a workable solution if a sidewalk is needed. It makes no sense to do it any other way. He asked if this proposal is responding to walkability or for the good of the community, transporting kids to school, or a convenience for some parents. If safety is the issue, putting a sidewalk all the way down Little Kate to a three-way stop sign at Lucky John, is dangerous. Many people moved into Park Meadows because of its rural nature and the fact it had no sidewalks. The requests are coming from people living in the west side of Park Meadows who aren't advocating sidewalks on their streets.

Tanya Kinnear, Park Meadows resident, stated that her two passions are the School District and walkability projects. The District has made progress and her biggest disappointment is with the City Council. For two and a half years, her neighborhood has been contacting Council about their concerns. She obtained 60 signatures in ten minutes on this issue at Squatters and read the title of the petition, "C*ut the crap, $1.5 million over five years is a band-aid solution; put it to a popular vote".* She felt that the $150,000 spent on the study was a waste of money; there is still no stop sign at the Racquet Club. City Council members should be ashamed of themselves for not making the drop-off zone a school zone. Three years is enough.

Carolyn Frankenberg, resident, stated that she wants to walk her kids on the street and not be afraid of their safety. Little Kate has become busy and there are times when it is not safe. Kids will not walk up Monitor and an on-grade sidewalk is not the solution. Budgeting $1.5 million for all walkability projects is ludicrous. She encouraged members to consider a bond issue for street projects. The drop-off zone should be designated a school zone and she stated that she is *going to spank somebody*.

Alex Kittner, student, asked for a sidewalk on Lucky John because she almost was hit by a car riding her bike to school.

Cheryl Fox, Park Meadows resident, pointed out that the experts encouraged a sidewalk all the way to Lucky John from Little Kate. The Monitor route will not work. The connection to the drop-off zone is currently dangerous because of the flurry of activity. She hoped that the City's efforts do not cease after this project and would like a long-term commitment from the City on the Landmark recommendations.

Eileen Kittner, resident, stated that the residents felt that a sidewalk to the drop-off zone was a done-deal. There are Sundance patrons that are in danger as well. If a child gets hit, *shame on you.* She reiterated that Monitor will not be used. This is the way we need to go.

Bruce Taylor, 2015 Lucky John Drive, explained the location of his house near the drop-off zone. As a resident on Lucky John Drive for 20 years he attested that there are traffic issues and a few kids going to school with a few parents accompanying them. The number of people benefited does not justify the cost for the sidewalk. He encouraged the Council to solve the traffic issue first, before building sidewalks. There are going to be near-misses anywhere and unfortunately those are the people who show up. He emphasized that he is not a *NIMBY* and is a supporter of connectivity. The benefit to the entire community and all taxpayers simply is not there.

Joe Kernan asked if he could make a motion to build the sidewalk all the way to the drop-off zone. Candace Erickson commented on kids approaching her to sign the petition for the sidewalk. There were no details on the project, but a lot of people signed it.

Alex Butwinski stated that he used to live on Lucky John and understands neighborhood concerns. He encouraged making a motion for the whole project, as opposed to a walkway to nowhere. Because of increased staffing, the level of traffic enforcement can be enhanced. Roger Harlan did not believe that a sidewalk will prompt different driving behaviors. Mr. Butwinski felt that a sidewalk creates a safer place for people to stand and drivers need to be ticketed for not stopping at the stop sign. Ms. Demolski spoke at length about a child routing plan being developed by the McPolin council.

See public hearing comments under the budget.

IV WORK SESSION NOTES AND MINUTES OF MEETING OF MAY 24 AND 31, 2007

Joe Kernan shared minor corrections with the City Recorder. Candace Erickson disclosed that she will be abstaining from the minutes of May 31 and Roger Harlan stated he will be abstaining from voting on both sets of minutes Joe Kernan, "<u>I move approval of the work session notes and minutes of the meetings of May 24 and May 31, 2007, as corrected</u>.

	05/24/07	05/31/07
Marianne Cone	Aye	Aye
Candace Erickson	Aye	Abstention
Roger Harlan	Abstention	Abstention
Jim Hier	Aye	Aye
Joe Kernan	Aye	Aye

V CONSENT AGENDA PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Consideration of an Ordinance amending Title 15, Land Management Code, Title 15, Section 11-3(B), Historic Preservation Board Quorum – Sharon Mayes-Atkinson, Planner explained the amendment; there was no public input.

2. Consideration of an Ordinance approving the Roundabout Subdivision, 300 Deer Valley Loop, Park City, Utah – Planner Katie Cattan described the application. The Mayor opened the public hearing, and with no comments, closed the hearing.

VI CONSENT AGENDA

Jim Hier, "I move approval of Consent Agenda Items 1, 2 and 3". Marianne Cone seconded. Motion unanimously carried.

1. Ordinance amending Title 15, Land Management Code, Title 15, Section 11-3(B), Historic Preservation Board Quorum – See staff report and public hearing.

2. Ordinance approving the Roundabout Subdivision, 300 Deer Valley Loop, Park City, Utah – See staff report and public hearing.

3. Resolution celebrating and proclaiming the week of June 17, 2007 as Park Silly Sunday Market Week in Park City, Utah – See Communications from Council. Staff recommends approval.

VII NEW BUSINESS *(new items with presentations and/or anticipated detailed discussions)*

1. Resolution adopting revised personnel policies and procedures manual, dated July 1, 2007 – See staff report and work session discussion. Jim Hier, "I move approval of the revisions to the personnel policies and procedures manual". Roger Harlan seconded. Motion unanimously carried.

2. Ordinance increasing the Resort Community Sales Tax within the City of Park City, Utah from one percent (1%) to one and one-tenth of one percent (1.1%) – See

staff report. The Mayor opened the public hearing and with no comments, closed the hearing. Marianne Cone, "I move approval of an ordinance increasing the Resort Community Sales Tax within the City of Park City, Utah from one percent to one and one-tenth of one percent". Jim Hier seconded. Motion carried.

Marianne Cone	Aye
Candace Erickson	Aye
Roger Harlan	Aye
Jim Hier	Aye
Joe Kernan	Nay

3. Ordinance increasing the Transit Sales Tax within the City of Park City, Utah from one-quarter of one percent (.25%) to three tenths of one percent (.30%) – See staff report. There was no public input. Roger Harlan, "I move to approve an ordinance increasing the transit sales tax within Park City from one-quarter of one percent to three tenths of one percent". Marianne Cone seconded. Motion carried.

Marianne Cone	Absent
Candace Erickson	Aye
Roger Harlan	Aye
Jim Hier	Aye
Joe Kernan	Nay

4. Ordinance amending the Capital Facilities Plan, an Impact Fee Analysis, and amending Title 11, Chapter 13 of the Municipal Code of Park City, Utah setting forth the assessment and calculation of impact fees – See staff report. The Mayor opened the public hearing; there was no input from the audience. Joe Kernan, "I move to approve the Ordinance amending the Capital Facilities Plan, Impact Fee Analysis and setting forth the assessment and calculation of impact fees". Roger Harlan seconded. Motion carried. Unanimously carried.

5. Revised budget for fiscal year 2007 and the budget for fiscal year 2008 for Park City Municipal Corporation and its related agencies – See staff report. Staff was invited to introduce the budget and the public hearing was opened.

Gary Hill stated that Council has the option to support a budget, including direction from last week, forming a SID, and/or funding the Landmark recommendation at $325,000. The budget can be approved as proposed with direction to staff to return with traffic counts and a study to warrant the extended sidewalk project with a deadline of January to make a final decision. Jim Hier believed that a warrant for a sidewalk based on traffic counts won't be achievable for this project. He felt efforts should focus on designating the drop-off as a school zone and he wasn't sure if that is under the purview of the

School District, the City and/or UDOT. He personally is not in favor of the extended sidewalk but suggested that a total reconstruction of Little Kate be included in a bond initiative or that it be funded through a SID. It was pointed out that the sidewalk would be removed if a reconstruction later occurred. Dana Williams spoke about the traffic calming effects of narrowing the road because the perception of the road slows drivers down. Carolyn Frankenberg repeated that $1.5 million is not enough for connectivity.

Marianne Cone referred to the 300 signatures on the Park Meadows petition supporting the extended sidewalk project and asked if the neighborhood would be willing to pay for improvements. Tanya Kinnear believed that tax payers will understand the price associated with connecting the town and Jim Hier expressed that a bond issue would be worth pursuing. Gary Hill advised that there may not be enough time to get a bond issue on the November ballot and the time needed to educate the public. Candace Erickson stated that she could not support forming a SID for a $350,000 project; there's too much associated administrative work to make it worthwhile. She felt that a comprehensive list of street projects may total as much as $20 million.

Ms. Erickson pointed out that installation of a sidewalk is feasible this year, but reconstructing Little Kate is longer term. Carolyn Frankenberg expressed that the connection to the school drop-off zone should not be delayed any longer. There was discussion about the three owners of property directly affected by the installation of a sidewalk on Lucky John who are opposed to the project.

Candace Erickson stated that she could support pursuing a bond if it is clearly presented to voters so it has a chance of passing. Mark Harrington suggested that staff conform requirements to get a bond on the November ballot and report back to Council. Mr. Hill believed the deadline for a resolution of intent is in August and a voter information piece would need to be produced and distributed.

Tom Bakaly pointed out that this discussion on Little Kate is reminiscent of last year's where the bigger solution resulted in the walkability study. The study identified recommended projects at $1.5 million; there was a list of other improvements, but those were not recommended. The Council established a service level for walkability projects which is reflected in the budget. Jim Hier stated that he doesn't feel the expanded Little Kate project is needed but felt a bond issue should be considered to include projects like a tunnel under SR248. Candace Erickson brought up the interest in constructing a round-about on SR224. She suggested allocating $350,000 for Little Kate in this year's budget. In the meantime the Council can consider a bond issue. It was pointed out that sidewalks will not be constructed this summer. The Mayor suggested including OTIS projects. Stacey Demolski suggested that the designation of a school zone could be accomplished in the meantime.

Mr. Bakaly understood consensus to be supporting moving the workability contingency into the Little Kate funding as a placeholder bringing the project total to $350,000. It would include a sidewalk down Little Kate with the potential of including a portion of Lucky John. He felt that the majority of Council members expressed interest in the Lucky John and Little Kate project, but prior to moving ahead with design, to further explore with the potential designation of a school zone on Lucky John with the School District. He understood consensus in returning with information regarding a bond issue. He advised that proceeding with a bond issue in November may delay the project for another year. Jim Hier clarified that his intent is not to change the amount earmarked for this element in the walkability study, his recommendation is to have sufficient funds budgeted for the whole program if it is decided to extend the sidewalk. Tom Bakaly added that the contingency could be spent on another project and Gary Hill agreed and explained the best approach is designating the cost in the implementation budget. There was discussion about the easements needed on Lucky John and Candace Erickson suggested exploring a bulb-out type design.

There was discussion about funding a $50,000 contingency to ensure that snow removal standards are met. Mr. Bakaly explained that this will be separate from the Public Works Fund. Gary Hill explained that the shell space will be budgeted at $1.9 and the difference between $1.9 and $2.7 will be maintained in the CIP where there is flexibility to loan funds to other projects, if needed. Jim Hier expressed his concern of budgeting $6 million for the seismic upgrade of the Marsac Municipal Building without more discussion among Council members. Joe Kernan agreed with reducing the cost to $4 or $5 million, and the Mayor voiced an interest on being updated on the status of the project. Jon Weidenhamer advised that staff has been focusing on the shell space and a schematic design has been completed on the Marsac. At this point, there are no details to share with members. Tom Bakaly added that staff just received direction from Council on green building which needs to be incorporated in the projects. Council has the option of decreasing the funding from $6 million and putting the difference in the five-year CIP, like the shell project. It was the consensus of Council to budget the seismic upgrade at $4.75 million and adjust the budget next year, if needed.

VI ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION – AGENDA ITEMS

VII ADJOURNMENT

With no further business, the regular meeting of the City Council was adjourned.

MEMORANDUM OF CLOSED SESSION

The City Council met in closed session at approximately 2:30 p.m. Members in attendance were Mayor Dana Williams, Marianne Cone, Candace Erickson, Roger

Harlan, Jim Hier, and Joe Kernan. Staff present was Tom Bakaly, City Manager; Mark Harrington, City Attorney; Kent Cashel, Deputy Public Works Director; Jerry Gibbs, Public Works Director; Myles Rademan, Public Affairs Director; Jon Weidenhamer, Project Manager; and Alison Butz, Project Manager. Candace Erickson, "I move to close the meeting to discuss property and litigation". Marianne Cone seconded. Motion carried unanimously. The meeting opened at approximately 4 p.m. Marianne Cone, "I move to open the meeting". Joe Kernan seconded. Motion unanimously carried.

The meeting for which these minutes were prepared was noticed by posting at least 24 hours in advance and by delivery to the news media two days prior to the meeting.

Prepared by Janet M. Scott