PARK CITY COUNCIL MEETING
SUMMIT COUNTY, UTAH
JULY 13, 1995

PUBLIC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the City Council of Park City, Utah will hold its regularly scheduled meeting at the Marsac Municipal Building, 445 Marsac Avenue, Park City, Utah for the purposes and at the times as described below on Thursday, July 13, 1995.

A G E N D A

Work Session

4:15 p.m. Council questions and comments
4:30 p.m. Review of regular meeting
Campaign expenses/reporting
Liquor store lease
Other meeting questions/comments
5:00 p.m. Summit County Governance Task Force

Regular Meeting

6:00 p.m.

I ROLL CALL

II PUBLIC INPUT

III MINUTES OF MEETING OF JUNE 29, 1995

VII PUBLIC HEARING

1. Ordinance amending the Millsite Reservation to the Park City Survey for 220 Daly Avenue, Lots 31 and 32, Block 74 (Potters Corner) **(motion to continue to August 3, 1995)**

2. Ordinance plat amendment - Frandsen Subdivision, lot combination and resubdivision of land located at 1437 through 1445 Woodside Avenue, Park City, Utah **(motion to continue to July 27, 1995)**

3. Amendment to Title 3, Ethics, Section 3-1-16 of the Park City Municipal Code regarding campaign expenses and adding a new section in Title 3 regarding a limitation on campaign expenditures

4. Renewal of lease of State Liquor Store, located at 528 Main Street

IV CONSENT AGENDA

1. Resolution adopting the Olympic Parkway Master Plan

PARK CITY COUNCIL MEETING
SUMMIT COUNTY, UTAH
JULY 13, 1995

I ROLL CALL

Mayor Brad Olch called the regular meeting of the City Council to order at approximately 6 p.m. at the Marsac Municipal Building on Thursday, 1995. Members in attendance were Brad Olch, Ruth Gezelius, Lou Hudson, Shauna Kerr, and Leslie Miller. Roger Harlan was excused. Staff present were Toby Ross, City Manager; Jerry Gibbs, Public Works Director; Mark Harrington, Assistant City Attorney; and Jodi Hoffman, City Attorney.

II PUBLIC INPUT

1. Development in Historic District - Bob Woody, 2212 Vimont Avenue, Salt Lake City, stated that 25 years ago, he purchased a "miner's shack" at 68 Prospect, remodeled it and has rented it since. He added that with the building envelope allowed, Park City is rapidly compromising the ambiance of the Historic District to the point that the structural integrity of neighborhood homes may be impacted. He distributed copies of a letter he wrote on this matter to the Chairman of the Historic District Commission and showed the Council pictures of his home and a rendering of an approved single family residence next door. He felt that if developers can maximize, there is inequity in his preserving the historic nature of his property. Mr. Woody continued that he will attempt to negotiate with the owner of 74 Prospect to see if there is a compromise which will result in maintaining the historic context as much as possible. If there is no compromise, then he is requesting that the City consider the safety conditions, more specifically the snow shedding, in this situation. Mr. Woody stated that he may be the worse case scenario, but urged Council to consider his request for the betterment of the historic community.

Mayor Olch responded that Marianne Cone brought this to Council's attention a couple of weeks ago. He added that the Council shares their concern and staff will be working with the Historic District Commission on a better plan. Leslie Miller stated that modern oversized homes impacts historic integrity and felt that the Council should be looking at restricting home sizes to a greater degree throughout Park City. Mr. Woody added that he would like to see the Land Management Code amended to allow flat roofs in the historic district, to avoid snow shed damage to adjacent properties. Ms. Gezelius stated that development allowed on 25 foot lots is resulting in out-of-scale homes.

2. Sidewalk on Monitor Drive - Heather Cooke, 2375 Monitor Drive, stated that she is representing about 15 families in the area. She felt that the proposed sidewalk on the east side represents a critical safety problem for residents and potential liability to the City. She stressed the importance of considering the recommendation from staff and weigh that carefully with respect to other perspectives. Ms. Cooke felt that the east side decision was based on input from the neighborhood three or four years ago, but the residents' sentiments have changed. Through

illustration of a map, Ms. Cooke discussed the 17 families in favor and three against having the sidewalk placed on the west side. One of the strongest reasons for the staff recommendation is the sake of continuity and perhaps economics. However, Ms. Cooke didn't think that the east side would be cost effective because of the berms on the Cartier and Garbett properties that would have to be removed and the Schroeders have installed an expensive landscaping plan with a retaining wall four feet from Monitor. The proximity of the Coleman fence on the west side is a concern, but it is no closer to the street than the Schroeder's retaining wall. For ease of installation and economics, Ms. Cooke did not feel that the east side has any advantages. She discussed the volume of traffic on Monitor and the difficulty of children trying to cross the street from Moray Court at a blind curve. Toby Ross clarified that part of staff's recommendation is to install a four foot sidewalk on the west side from Moray Court to Little Kate. Ms. Cooke countered that four foot sidewalks will not be cleared by the City. Public Works Director Jerry Gibbs clarified that sidewalks are a low priority for maintenance and discussion ensued about the responsibility of abutting property owners to clear snow from sidewalks.

The Mayor recommended to extend the sidewalk on the east side to Little Kate and the west side from Moray Court to Little Kate to measure its effectiveness. He discussed the difficulties with the Coleman portion and that cars have slide into the fence in the winter. Leslie Miller stated that she could not support this in light of the neighborhood opposition because of safety concerns. She suggested that the City paint crosswalks at the four-way stop. Shauna Kerr stated that she visited the area three times in the past week and even crossed it on her knees to determine what it would be like for a child; she stressed that it is not a blind corner as there is visual distance. Ms. Kerr emphasized that this is a pedestrian throughway and a connector to encourage pedestrian use from other parts of town and this is not solely intended to be a neighborhood sidewalk. Ms. Kerr continued that the likelihood of someone using a sidewalk is substantially increased when it is on the same side of the street. The Council must do what is best for the community and Ms. Kerr felt comfortable with the staff's recommendation and with the Mayor's comments. Ms. Miller argued that the cars can't see the children and asked why it would work more effectively for the general public than the neighborhood. Lou Hudson noted that he lives in the neighborhood and did not feel that people would cross the street if the sidewalk is installed on the west side. Ruth Gezelius stated that she agrees with the Mayor, Mr. Hudson, and Ms. Kerr and this decision would not preclude considering a sidewalk from Moray Court to Lucky John in the future. There are difficulties in retrofitting sidewalks, but the City has to do the best it can for the greater community at this point in time. Ms. Miller again discussed crosswalks and Jerry Gibbs responded that the real danger is that people believe that they are safe in a crosswalk. Mr. Gibbs stated that staff will look at the overall situation for crosswalks, advance signage, and narrowing the travel lanes to see if it slows down traffic. The Mayor thanked Ms. Cooke for her comments and added that he sees residents speed through the area every day.

3. Olympic Parkway master plan - White Pine Touring lease - Kathy Sturgis of White Pine Touring stated that the cross-county touring community participated in the public hearings on this master plan. She referred to Item 3 which reads:

> ***Winter Use.*** *In order to provide for the long-term provision of winter sports activities and amenities on the property, the City will prepare for open and competitive proposals a "request for provision of services" beginning with the 1996-97 season. The term of the contract will be for no more than five years, with a three year renewal option at the City's discretion. Nothing shall preclude the Council from determining that the City should directly provide the desired services.*

She was not aware of this provision and further added that White Pine would have liked a long-term lease as it has been difficult to plan for capital expenditures. Ms. Sturgis asked why this would be bid publicly and is concerned about the City's ability to operate the touring center. She added that White Pine has been operating the concession for nine years and asked what has changed to recommend a long-term lease. Ms. Sturgis stated that she is not afraid of competition but explained how important the touring operation is to their business and didn't feel it appropriate that the City could displace a private business. The City Manager stated that he talked with Charlie Sturgis. The City's purchasing policy requires that contracted professional services be publicly bid and the likely process would be a request for qualifications and those qualified would make a formal proposal. The selection would be based on a variety of factors, not simply the low bid. The policy is formulated so that there is not a monopoly on a service and the reason the City has not entered into a long-term lease with White Pine in the past is because there has been uncertainty about the golf course operation and the Osguthorpe property. Mr. Ross continued that the recommendation for a long-term contract is from the Parks, Recreation and Beautification Advisory Board and is a rational response to the capital investments that a business must consider. The City Manager explained that the City would be considered as a possible operator if there are no acceptable proposals. He stressed that White Pine would have an excellent chance of being awarded a contract, but it is not in the policy to guarantee private use of public property and this is consistent with all of the leases with the City. The bid process has been delayed a year in order to provide applicants enough time to prepare bids.

Kathy Sturgis expressed her timing concerns in light of the Olympics and the possibility of an outsider or the City taking advantage of this opportunity. She emotionally discussed the City competing with private businesses. Ruth Gezelius stated that this is a concern of the business community and the reason it is important to go to an open public bid process. Ms. Sturgis asked how she could be better informed about issues, and Ms. Miller stated that she was not aware of the changes and that there would have been a better way to inform White Pine; the staff report changed from May. Toby Ross explained that the Sturgises found out because staff notified them. He added that he had a lengthy conversation with Mr. Sturgis earlier to explain things and received no indication of any major concerns and was surprised by Ms. Sturgis' testimony. Kathy Sturgis noted that a couple of years ago a City official indicated that it would be desirable to take over the cross-county skiing operation and the timing with the Olympics will attract other businesses. The Mayor recommended that this be taken off the Consent Agenda and continued for a discussion at a work session. Charlie Sturgis stated that he did have a good conversation with the City Manager regarding this issue but that his wife wanted some public awareness on this resolution. The Mayor added that there is no urgency on this and it could be discussed again.

With no further comments, the public input session was closed.

III MINUTES OF MEETING OF JUNE 29, 1995

Ruth Gezelius, "I move approval of the minutes as presented. Shauna Kerr seconded. Motion carried.

Ruth Gezelius	Aye
Roger Harlan	Absent
Lou Hudson	Aye
Shauna Kerr	Aye
Leslie Miller	Aye

VII PUBLIC HEARING

1. Ordinance amending the Millsite Reservation to the Park City Survey for 220 Daly Avenue, Lots 31 and 32, Block 74 (Potters Corner) **(motion to continue to August 3, 1995)** - Ruth Gezelius, "I move that we continue to August 3, 1995 the Ordinance amending the Millsite Reservation to the Park City Survey for 220 Daly Avenue, Lots 31 and 32, Block 74". Shauna Kerr seconded. Motion carried.

Ruth Gezelius	Aye
Roger Harlan	Absent
Lou Hudson	Aye
Shauna Kerr	Aye
Leslie Miller	Aye

2. Ordinance plat amendment - Frandsen Subdivision, lot combination and resubdivision of land located at 1437 through 1445 Woodside Avenue, Park City, Utah - The Mayor commented that the Planning Commission has not acted on this plat amendment. Ruth Gezelius, "I move that we continue to a date uncertain the ordinance plat amendment for the Frandsen Subdivision lot combination and resubdivision of land located at 1437 through 1445 Woodside Avenue in Park City, Utah". Leslie Miller seconded. Motion carried.

Ruth Gezelius	Aye
Roger Harlan	Absent
Lou Hudson	Aye
Shauna Kerr	Aye
Leslie Miller	Aye

3. Amendment to Title 3, Ethics, Section 3-1-16 of the Park City Municipal Code regarding campaign expenses and adding a new section in Title 3 regarding a limitation on campaign expenditures - See work session notes. Ruth Gezelius stated that any campaign expenditure total should be a recommendation and supports the amendment to the timing of disclosures. She didn't feel that the government should make a limitation requirement. Lou Hudson felt that disclosure is sufficient and Shauna Kerr pointed out that disclosure has to be timely because if it is too late after the election, it is not effective.

With no comments or questions from the City Council or the public, the public hearing was closed.

4. Renewal of lease of State Liquor Store, located at 528 Main Street - Ruth Gezelius was concerned that Council not make a rash decision until there are other options in terms of a package agency for the convenience of tourists and residents in the area. She added that the City Council subsidizes a variety of interests and services that aren't necessarily the crusade of everyone. The idea of generating more traffic to another area of town is foolish and input from the business community would be helpful before a decision is made. Lou Hudson felt there was a demand for the liquor store and Leslie Miller suggested that the Council look at the alternative uses for the space the Chamber/Bureau should be involved in making a recommendation. Shauna Kerr felt that this could be a great business opportunity for someone and Mark Harrington acknowledged that this is a month-to-month lease but suggested that a time frame be placed on this so that there is some motivation. The Mayor suggested October 31, 1995.

Ted Bartkowski, 1475 Park Avenue #4, stated that he has been looking for office space and it is quite frustrating. Providing the state free rent adds to the frustration. He complained that the agenda did not appear in the Park Record and it did not get posted in the building.

Paul Sincock, resident, stated that Utah has a state controlled liquor consortium which charges 10% to 20% more for liquor than in competitive states so it is a very profitable business for the state of Utah. For the state not to pay competitive rates is not good use of the City's public facilities. The space should be put to public use like the Historical Society or rented at competitive rates.

With no further comments or questions from the Council or the public, the public hearing was closed.

IV CONSENT AGENDA

The Mayor recommended that Item 1 be continued. Ruth Gezelius, "I move that we remove and continue Item 1 on the Consent Agenda being the Resolution adopting the Olympic Parkway Master Plan to work session, at a time to be determined". Shauna Kerr seconded. Motion carried.

Ruth Gezelius, "I move approval of Consent Agenda Items 2, 3, and 4".

Ruth Gezelius	Aye
Roger Harlan	Absent
Lou Hudson	Aye
Shauna Kerr	Aye
Leslie Miller	Aye

1. Resolution adopting the Olympic Parkway Master Plan - This item has been continued.

2. Authorization to staff to negotiate a contract in an amount not to exceed $55,000 with Hansen, Allen and Luce for the completion of a test well, a finished municipal well and transmission line - See attached staff report.

3. Authorize staff to negotiate a contract with Utility Cost Management Consultants for gas, electric and telephone usage for Park City Municipal Corporation on a contingency basis - See attached.

4. Water rights agreement with Greater Park City Corporation for the Spiro Tunnel - See attached.

V NEW BUSINESS

Amendment to Resolution No. 29-92, Section 2, Water Fees, increasing water user fees - Ruth Gezelius, "I move approval of amendment to Resolution No. 29-92, increasing water user fees". Shauna Kerr seconded. Motion carried.

Ruth Gezelius	Aye
Roger Harlan	Absent
Lou Hudson	Aye
Shauna Kerr	Aye
Leslie Miller	Aye

VI COMMUNICATIONS FROM COUNCIL AND STAFF

Intergovernmental Task Force - Leslie Miller reported that the first meeting was held with the City, School District, and County and priorities and agenda items were formulated.

VIII ADJOURNMENT

With no further business, the regular meeting of the City Council adjourned.

* * * * * *

MEMORANDUM OF CLOSED SESSION

The City Council met in closed session at approximately 3:30 p.m. Members in attendance were Mayor Bad Olch, Ruth Gezelius, Lou Hudson, and Shauna Kerr. Roger Harlan was excused. Staff present were Toby Ross, City Manager; Mark Harrington, Assistant City Attorney; and Jodi Hoffman, City Attorney. Shauna Kerr, "I move to close the meeting to discuss litigation". Ruth Gezelius seconded. Motion carried.

Ruth Gezelius	Aye
Roger Harlan	Absent
Lou Hudson	Aye
Shauna Kerr	Aye
Leslie Miller	Aye

The meeting opened at approximately 4:30.

Prepared by Janet M. Scott

Janet M. Scott
Deputy City Recorder

PARK CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION
SUMMIT COUNTY, UTAH
JULY 13, 1995

Present: **Brad Olch, Lou Hudson, Shauna Kerr, Ruth Gezelius, Toby Ross, Jodi Hoffman, Jerry Gibbs, Mark Harrington**

Hugh Daniels, Kathy Sturgis; Governance Task Force: Mayor Dick Butler, Gordon Strachan, Max Greenhall, Sally Elliott, Kena Frasier, Gene Moser, Randy Taylor, Jim Milner and Don Sargeant

Absent: **Roger Harlan**

1. **Council questions and comments.** Lou Hudson brought debate on the location of the sidewalk on Monitor Drive. Jerry Gibbs reported on a neighborhood meeting he held regarding the location a couple of weeks ago; staff is recommending installing it on the east side from Lucky John to Little Kate, connecting a current stretch of sidewalk from Kearns to Lucky John. Mr. Gibbs reported that in response to the concerns of the neighborhood, that the staff is recommending to install a sidewalk on the west side from Moray Court to Little Kate. He also discussed the internal equestrian trail system that can be used in Park Meadows. He stressed that the majority of users are from other areas and the east side makes the most sense and a conflict between pedestrians and motorists may occur if the sidewalk is moved to the other side. Mr. Gibbs discussed a problem on the east side along the curb. The City did not want to incur the cost of a retaining wall, but the property owners were willing to install the retaining wall if the City allowed it on a portion of the right-of-way which reduced costs. Both options are about the same cost; from a usage standpoint it makes sense to put it on the east side. Mr. Gibbs continued that if the City acts as the general contractor, there will be savings and monies available to construct the short west side sidewalk. The problems with the proximity of the Coleman house to the curb on the east side was discussed. It is proposed to paint bike lanes on both sides of Monitor. See public input comments in regular minutes.

Leslie Miller discussed the letter from Mary Morrisson of the Recycling Center and adoption of a policy for purchasing recyclable paper. She referred to a letter from Joe Tesch requesting that Council hold a public hearing on the SOB ordinance and felt it should be scheduled on work session. She asked that the City Manager prepare a status report on Council priorities; Mr. Ross indicated that this could be available in mid-August. The credit for the fireworks display were discussed.

2. **Review of regular meeting:**

Campaign expenses/reporting. The City Attorney explained that there is an amendment to the timetable for reporting and the Council had requested an addition addressing campaign expenditure limitations or contribution limitations. Legal research indicated that the expenditure limitation is problematic because of protection of speech issues. However, there could be a "moral guideline" set and there is also an option for the City to match campaign funds, thereby committing the candidate to comply with expenditure limitations. This is a practice of the federal government. Shauna Kerr discussed the importance of having an "indicator" for maximum expenditures and Ms. Hoffman assured her that the media would do an adequate job of monitoring this concern. Councilmember Kerr added that it is important to have a diverse council and providing a "level playing field" for all candidates. Ms. Hoffman stated that the last election showed that Council candidates disclosed spending up to $5,500 and the mayoral candidates up to $4,000. Ms. Hoffman discussed in-kind contributions, which were not addressed in the current code, which may affect those totals.

Ruth Gezelius discussed the last County election and the anonymous mailing which upset citizens. Ms. Hoffman noted that there is very little that can legally be done about that. Ms. Hoffman clarified that the matching fund program would not be mandatory but it would be offered early in the campaign process and it would be clear if a candidate was committed to the campaign limitation concept. Discussion ensued regarding the potential number of people filing for candidacy and the associated expense with such a program. Ms. Hoffman added her preference for a "moral equation", as it is not the intention of the City to punish candidates for non-compliance. Hugh Daniels, current and past candidate, felt it appropriate to set some sort of "moral" number. He stated that Shauna, Roger and he spent about $5,500, but his campaign also included in-kind contributions, bringing it up to approximately $6,500. He pointed out the expenses of signs and direct mailings and suggested $10,000 for mayor and council candidates. Mr. Daniels felt that reporting $50 donations as opposed to $100 would result in a lot of "busy work". He generally supported the ordinance, especially disclosing in-kind donations. Ms. Hoffman pointed out that someone getting $50 contributions is desirable as it would indicate a broad-range of support and this amendment is a result of recent changes in election laws which don't technically affect Park City yet (third class cities with populations of 10,000 or more) , but it's close. This is also an obligation under the Ethics Rules to report any contribution in excess of $50 as a seated Councilmembers. If this applies to elected officials, it should also apply to new candidates.

Sally Elliott suggested that rather than limiting contributions, to require that all candidates disclose the contributor regardless of the amount. She suggested that the City ask that the County adopt a similar ordinance. See public hearing comments.

Liquor store lease. Mark Harrington explained that when the space was offered to the state for free use for a liquor store, it was subject to requirements of the Municipal Building Authority, and there was a limitation on what the City could collect for rents. This is no longer the case. He reported on his meeting with the Alcoholic Beverage Commission who indicated that the only reason they operated on Main Street was because of the request from the City seven years ago and rejected the proposal to pay rent. A package store option was discussed as was the state's allocation for liquor stores. Ms. Kerr questioned that formula as the population has increased. Mr. Harrington asked for direction of keeping the store there, or making this space available for non-profit groups or a commercial venture.

Kathy Sturgis, member of the Main Street Merchants Association, noted that this was discussed and it was the feeling of the membership that they would be disappointed to see the store close. No one expressed an interest in having a package store at their business at that meeting.

See public hearing comments in regular meeting.

Summit County Governance Task Force. Mayor Dick Butler, Acting Chairman, explained that this committee was commissioned by the voters last year to study alternate forms of County government. There have been several public meetings held throughout the county; there are a number of forms of government allowed under Utah state law and Mayor Butler requested input from the Council regarding its relationship with the County and possible suggestions for improvement. The members in attendance introduced themselves, including Gordon Strachan, Max Greenhall, Sally Elliott, Kena Frasier, Gene Moser, Randy Taylor, Jim Milner and Don Sargeant.

Ruth Gezelius discussed the merits of having a professionally trained manager in business administration. This allows the elected officials of having the benefit of a recommendation from someone with expertise and sets forth a division of responsibility. Government without delegation becomes inefficient and delegation results in accountability. She stated that the current form of County government is redundant and there is an expectation that is unrealistic for elected officials to have the technical background to handle administrative responsibilities and it is frustrating for the commission and its constituency.

Leslie Miller suggested that in order to adequately represent the diversity in the County, to expand the board. Mr. Strachan asked how the membership would be districted and Ms. Elliott explained that there has been input that there be requirements for filing by district residency for some of the seats (possibly by the school districts), but that the vote be at large.

Randy Taylor, from Henefer, discussed his concerns regarding the double taxation issue; services funded and provided by cities that are in turn provided by the County to other communities as a County service, resulting in inequities. Shauna Kerr pointed out the problems with the number of three commissioners, especially when absences or conflicts of interest occur. Also if two commissioners are consistently voting together, other agendas are not addressed. She wasn't sure if seven members would be too burdensome, but pointed out the diversity in the County and the increase in population. Because of the workload, Ms. Kerr suggested seven commissioners without a professional manager and five with a county manager. Mayor Olch pointed out that if there are seven individuals who are undirected, nothing may be accomplished. Discussion ensued regarding the two counties in Utah who changed forms of government, including Cache County who designated the county administrator as an elected position. Gene Moser discussed the concept of professional politicians, which would mean the end of a citizen elected legislative bodies. Mr. Moser also addressed the shortcomings of the County form of government, the merits of a professional staff, and Sally Elliott noted that this form of government existed before the Civil War.

Ruth Gezelius pointed out that because County seats are partisan, that this has contributed to the lack of citizen participation and representation. Mayor Butler asked if it is important to have a "mayor" position on a county commission. Ruth Gezelius felt that this is a check and balance situation and a professional manager and mayor or chairperson is a good system to "hold the ship down" and be accountable to the public. City Manager Toby Ross stated that it is important to have a leader of the legislative body and that person does not need to be separately elected.

Mayor Butler relayed that the biggest complaint he has heard regarding a professional manager is that he would hold too much power and not be accountable to the public. Mayor Olch pointed out that the make-up of the city council and the attributes of the city manager makes the difference with regard to control and decision-making. Leslie Miller discussed the advantage of having the mayor the manager because its the electorate's choice and there is the risk of having savvy bureaucrats setting the agenda. She added that there are flaws in both systems and that it is an issue of philosophy and expression of community. The Mayor emphasized the expertise required to provide urban services in Summit County. The challenge is for the elected officials to get up to speed and set an agenda for the manager, creating a check and balance system and a successful form of government. Ms. Kerr stated that with a larger board, there can be rotating terms, resulting in more continuity. Toby Ross pointed out that it is unlikely that a manager would have so much control that he would dominate a legislative body, because the manager is very high profile. But in a commission form of government where there are department heads that never turn over, or are elected separately and not accountable to a commission, creates an unworkable bureaucracy. In many respects, a legislative body needs a professional to deal with the rest of the bureaucracy. Mr. Greenhall stated that people feel that an elected official is more concerned about solving individual problems than a professional. Ruth Gezelius questioned the possibility of maintaining a personal relationship with this level of population. The Mayor added that constituents should approach elected officials with concerns and in turn the official should communicate this to the manager to solve. Toby Ross added that by contacting one commissioner is undemocratic since the other two commissioners may not be consulted with regard to the solution. Gene Moser noted that often three commissioners were unknowingly working on the same problem.

In response to a question from Sally Elliott about compensation, Shauna Kerr stated that she would prefer to pay a salary to a professional manager then to pay all of the commissioners at managerial ranges. The money is better spent allocated to a paid professional staff. Gordon Strachan expressed the "hard-sell" to the public to change to a manager-commission form of government. The Mayor stated that he would publicly support it because the current system is not working which is evident by the mandate for a task force. Leslie Miller agreed but felt that some part of the problem may be the state statute with regard to the definition of the composition of the county government. Gene Moser elaborated on the definition in the state statute with regard to county and municipal services; there was discussion on the financial problems facing Summit County. Shauna

Kerr asked about proposed incorporation of the Snyderville Basin and Mr. Moser indicated that this would cause property taxes in the area to double. The City Manager stated that this would be a result because there is use of general fund money to support services. Max Greenhall discussed setting up special service areas with different taxing formulas. Ruth Gezelius commented that representation by school district, as suggested earlier, was not necessarily fair or equal and that there should be some far-sightedness in determining districts. Mayor Butler invited the public to comment.

Hugh Daniels, council candidate, stated that he supported five county commissioners and agreed with Ms. Gezelius that we need to look ahead. He preferred the county manager concept and eliminating as many of the elected department head positions as possible so there is some authority. He stated that he would publicly support this idea. Myles Rademan, Public Affairs Director, indicated that if more efficient county government means extracting more taxes from cities, that he would prefer the current system.

Mayor Butler asked Council what they thought of the commission hiring an assistant. Ms. Gezelius felt that Summit County is too big for that. Ms. Kerr reiterated that the board should be expanded to at least five. Several Councilmembers indicated that they felt that Park City is isolated from the County and Ms. Gezelius referred to a lack of appreciation of the significant financial impact of Park City. She felt that the double taxation issue is very unfair.

As the regularly scheduled meeting of the City Council was about to convene, the work session adjourned.

Prepared by Janet M. Scott